

MINUTES

**UTAH
PSYCHOLOGY
BOARD MEETING**

January 22, 2008

**Room 402 – 4th Floor – 9:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

CONVENED: 9:05 A.M.

ADJOURNED: 2:55 P.M.

**Bureau Manager:
Board Secretary:**

Noel Taxin
Karen McCall

Board Members Present:

Natalie J. Malovich, Ph.D., Chairperson
John F. Merryweather, Ph.D.
Lori G. Buhler
Leonard J. Haas, Ph.D.
Bruce Etringer, Ph.D.

Guests:

Nanci Klein, Ph.D.

DOPL Staff Present:

Rich Oborn, Bureau Manager
Lee Avery, Board Secretary

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

MINUTES:

The minutes from the December 11, 2007 Board meeting were read.

Dr. Haas made a motion to approve the minutes as read. Dr. Etringer seconded the motion. **The Board vote was unanimous.**

APPOINTMENTS:

10:00 A.M.

Dr. Michael Bjornson, Probationary Interview

Dr. Bjornson met for his probationary interview. His wife, Heidi Bjornson, attended the interview.

Dr. Bruce Etringer, Board member, Rich Oborn, Bureau Manager, and Lee Avery, Board Secretary

were introduced.

Dr. Merryweather conducted the interview.

Dr. Merryweather requested Dr. Bjornson to update the Board regarding the position he was trying to obtain in Wyoming and regarding licensure in Wyoming.

Dr. Bjornson responded that he was offered a position in Casper, Wyoming. He stated that the Wyoming Board has informed him that an ethical violation does not automatically disqualify an applicant from licensure. He stated that he had an in-depth interview that was very positive. Dr. Bjornson stated that he and his wife checked out the schools, and the homes in the Casper area. He stated that last week he received a letter from Wyoming notifying him that his application was preliminary denied. Dr. Bjornson stated that the letter did not go into detail other than stating it was denied based on the ethical violation. He stated that he is now in the appeal process. Dr. Bjornson stated that the potential employer is still interested in him joining the agency. He explained that he and his family had their hearts set on the obtaining the position as it offered insurance benefit that he has not had for his family. Dr. Bjornson stated that the agency is a National payment site and he would be able to pay on the Federal Government debt that he has. He stated that he has not been offered anything in Utah at a repayment site and he is becoming concerned about the debt and interest he has incurred. Dr. Bjornson stated that Idaho also notified him that his application was denied as he has not yet completed his Utah probation. He stated that he will not pursue the Idaho license as he is very interested in the Wyoming offer.

Dr. Merryweather asked if there is a clear procedure for an appeal in Wyoming and will Dr. Bjornson be meeting with the Wyoming Board.

Dr. Bjornson responded that Wyoming does have a specific appeal process. He explained that he must submit a written appeal that is presented before the whole Wyoming Board and, if it becomes necessary,

then he meets with the Board. Dr. Bjornson stated that the Wyoming Board only meets once a year formally.

Dr. Bjornson stated that it has been just over 4 years since his ethical violation. He stated that he has been practicing in a sound ethical manner since that time, his reports have been positive and he has been in therapy for over 3 years from which he has gained insight about himself. Dr. Bjornson stated that he is not successful in Vernal as the climate there makes it impossible for him to be successful. He stated that the Division offered him a 3 year probation which he turned down as it would not allow him to work with female clients. Dr. Bjornson stated that he fought for that to be taken out of the offer so his case went before the Board at a Hearing. Dr. Bjornson stated that he went to Dr. Etringer for a psychological evaluation and in the evaluation it recommended supervision for a year and then re-review. He stated that he started weekly supervision with Dr. Szykula on October 4, 2005. Dr. Bjornson stated that there have been a number of employment opportunities that he is unable to apply for while he is on probation. He stated that he has extensive work in the Veterans Administration (VA) system but they will not hire him with a probationary license. Dr. Bjornson requested the Board to seriously consider termination of his probation today.

Dr. Haas commented that Dr. Bjornson's probation started in March 2005.

Dr. Bjornson responded that the petition was filed in March 2004 and the Hearing was January 10, 2005.

Dr. Haas asked if the VA is a Federal Government payment site.

Dr. Bjornson responded that the VA is a Federal Government payment site but they require an unencumbered license to work there.

Ms. Taxin stated that it is appropriate for Dr. Bjornson to bring up the issue of early termination of probation at this time. She informed Dr. Bjornson that the Board will need to take some

time for further discussion regarding his request.

Dr. Merryweather stated that he was not thinking about early termination of probation today. He thought Dr. Bjornson would be meeting for his normal probationary interview. He stated that he would want an opportunity to review Dr. Bjornson's complete file and additional discussion with the Board before making any changes.

Ms. Taxin explained that the normal process is for Dr. Bjornson to write a formal letter of request which includes his reasons for the request so that the Board has some time to consider the request. She stated that Dr. Bjornson's supervisor must write a separate letter of recommendation and support for early termination of probation. She stated that a letter from Dr. Maas would also be required stating her recommendation and support and that Dr. Bjornson would not be a danger to the public. Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Bjornson presented his request well today but the Board could not consider early termination without the letters. She suggested today's probationary interview be completed and Dr. Bjornson be invited to meet again at the next scheduled meeting.

Dr. Merryweather stated that the Board also wanted to discuss the issue of Dr. Bjornson's supervision.

Dr. Bjornson reminded the Board that at the last meeting Dr. Szykula's report included that he thought Dr. Bjornson was ready to start practicing independently. He stated that he has benefited from the supervision and there has never been a concern about boundary violations or his professional actions. He stated that he likes the idea of a setting where he can collaborate with his colleagues. Dr. Bjornson stated that he has had weekly supervision for nearly 3 ½ years and would like the Board to consider less supervision.

Ms. Taxin responded that currently Dr. Bjornson is doing one weekly supervision meeting in person and the next one by telephone.

Dr. Merryweather made a motion to accept Dr. Bjornson's suggestion to move his supervision to once a month in person.

Dr. Haas seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Maas last report has not yet been received.

Dr. Bjornson responded that he called her last week and the report should have been submitted. He stated that he will be seeing her today and will ask about the report. Dr. Bjornson stated that the therapy is working for him.

An appointment was made for Dr. Bjornson to meet again April 15, 2008.

Mrs. Bjornson asked if she could address the Board.

Dr. Malovich responded that she could address the Board.

Mrs. Bjornson stated that Idaho and Wyoming denying licensure has been a real set back for their family. She stated that the Utah Board will need to make some decisions regarding Dr. Bjornson's probation as neither Idaho or Wyoming will grant licensure while Dr. Bjornson is on probation. She stated that Dr. Bjornson has Federal obligations that need to be addressed and she asked the Board for their help.

Ms. Taxin asked if the potential employer in Wyoming is aware of Dr. Bjornson's probation.

Dr. Bjornson responded that the potential employer in Wyoming is aware and has read the Stipulation and Order.

Ms. Taxin recommended Dr. Bjornson also request the potential Wyoming employer to write a letter to the Board as to their awareness of his probation

and Order and their desire to hire him.

Dr. Bjornson asked if the Wyoming Board could contact Ms. Taxin directly.

Ms. Taxin responded that they could contact her by telephone. She stated that Dr. Bjornson should get his information submitted a couple of weeks prior to the April 15, 2008 appointment. She suggested he request Dr. Szykula to address more regarding boundary issues and their discussions.

Dr. Bjornson and Mrs. Bjornson left the meeting.

Following further discussion regarding terminating Dr. Bjornson's probation, Dr. Haas made a motion to deny Dr. Bjornson's request for early termination of probation.

Ms. Buhler commented that she did not feel comfortable taking a vote with 3 Board members present. She stated that she believed all 5 Board members should be part of the discussion, review the requested information and then vote.

Dr. Haas withdrew his motion.

10:20 A.M.

Dr. Barbara Ogden, Probationary Interview

Dr. Ogden met for her probationary interview.

Dr. Haas informed Dr. Ogden that Dr. Etringer has become a Board member. He asked Dr. Ogden if she would be comfortable with Dr. Etringer remaining in the meeting and participating in the discussion.

Dr. Ogden responded that she would be comfortable with Dr. Etringer remaining in the meeting and participating.

Dr. Haas introduced Rich Oborn, the new Bureau Manager, and Lee Avery, the new Board Secretary.

Dr. Haas conducted the interview.

Dr. Haas requested Dr. Ogden to update the Board regarding meeting the requirements of her

probation.

Dr. Ogden responded that she completed the CE the Board previously approved. She submitted the CE certificates for the Board to review.

Dr. Haas read the names of the courses Dr. Ogden completed. He then made a motion to approve the CE hours Dr. Ogden completed as filling the probationary requirements.

Dr. Etringer seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

Dr. Ogden stated that she became the program director at Serenity House in May 2007 and is no longer affiliated with First Step House. She stated that she does everything at Serenity House except supervise.

Dr. Haas asked if Dr. Simmons is with Serenity House.

Dr. Ogden responded that he is with Serenity House.

Dr. Haas stated that the supervision report has been received and was a positive report. He asked Dr. Ogden what her caseload is at Serenity House.

Dr. Ogden responded that there are 6 residential patients. She stated that everyone gets group therapy daily and one individual session daily.

Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Simmons reports are very positive but he does not explain that he has sat in on any group or individual sessions, how he is observing and reviewing Dr. Ogden's notes and his observations.

Dr. Ogden responded that everyone does not have their own office for individual therapy. She stated that Dr. Simmons has been sitting in on her individual session by sitting in the room and doing his paperwork. She stated that she has also observed him sitting in on group sessions and he does sign her charts.

Ms. Taxin asked Dr. Ogden to explain what she has learned from this process and if there is anything different that she does from watching Dr. Simmons.

Dr. Ogden responded that she now completes her notes after each therapy session. She stated that she has found that some patients comment that she actually appears as she is listening to them as she is taking notes. She stated that the notes also help with her paperwork.

Dr. Haas asked Dr. Ogden to request Dr. Simmons to include more detail regarding his conclusions in the reports he submits. Dr. Haas stated that Dr. Ogden was required to write an essay. He asked how she is doing on that essay.

Dr. Ogden responded that she completed the essay. She submitted the essay for the Board to review.

Ms. Taxin suggest Dr. Ogden give a quick summary and then the Board can review the essay later and discuss it with her at the next Board meeting.

Dr. Ogden responded that she has learned that crossing boundaries hurts the patient and the therapeutic relationship is over. She stated that she has learned that the problem is compounded for the patient as there is a loss of respect for the therapist, loss of referrals, loss of license and loss of income. Dr. Ogden stated that she has learned that the client trust is not easily restored. She stated that these professional boundaries should not be breached if the therapist wants to succeed with the client.

Ms. Taxin thanked Dr. Ogden for her response. She stated that Dr. Ogden was approved for the EPPP and the Utah Psychology Law and Rules examination over a year ago and, to date, has not taken either examination. She explained that Dr. Ogden's Psychology application cannot remain in pending forever and the Division will have to deny the application. Ms. Taxin stated the Dr. Ogden

may still sit for the examinations at any time and submit the score reports for the Division electronic file.

Dr. Ogden responded that Ms. Taxin had stated at her last meeting that the Psychology Resident license could be extended. She stated that she has been under great stress and has not been able to study for the examinations. She stated that she would like to request a 3 month extension so she can take the EPPP at least twice and committed to taking the examinations within 3 months.

Ms. Taxin explained that, based on probation, Dr. Ogden's explanations and all the issues, the Psychology application deadline could be extended for 3 months. Ms. Taxin suggested Dr. Ogden be given until July 1, 2008 to give her time to take the examinations.

An appointment was made for Dr. Ogden to meet again April 15, 2008.

10:40 A.M.

Dr. Laura Czajkowski, Probationary Interview

Dr. Czajkowski met for her probationary interview.

Ms. Taxin explained the changes taking place at the Division and introduced Rich Oborn, the new Bureau Manager, and Lee Avery, the new Board Secretary.

Dr. Malovich conducted the interview.

Dr. Malovich requested Dr. Czajkowski to update the Board since her last appointment.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that she is still meeting regularly with Dr. King. She stated that Dr. King reviews her taped sessions and her notes. Dr. Czajkowski stated that she refers patients out when appropriate. She stated that she believes she is on track with her probation.

Dr. Malovich asked if she has been pro-active on screening her clients.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that she has been pro-

active. She stated that her secretary scheduled 2 clients and then she referred them out to other clinicians.

Dr. Malovich asked if Dr. Czajkowski would like to address her request to attend the peer consultation group.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that she would like to discuss her request. She stated that Dr. King addressed the issue again in her report. Dr. Czajkowski stated that she believes the peer group would be valuable for her and she believes this type of peer consultation could also be used as CE. She stated that the consultation also dovetails with her areas of therapy and there is a wealth of expertise that she could take advantage of.

Dr. Malovich responded that the Board has discussed the request and believes it is possibly a dual role as Dr. King is her supervisor and would also be part of the peer consultation. She stated that it could potentially be a problem.

Dr. Czajkowski stated that there are a limited amount of individuals who work in her area of Health Psychology. She stated that she appreciated the Board's comments but she has confidence in Dr. King that she can maintain her supervisory position. She stated that they talked about the supervision role prior to submitting the request to the Board. She stated that at the time she and Dr. King talked about requesting Board approval they did not know that Dr. Etringer would be appointed to the Board.

Dr. Haas commented that he was surprised about Dr. Czajkowski's comment that there are not many Health Psychologists.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that there is not another one that she is aware of that meets on a regular basis.

Ms. Taxin suggested Dr. Czajkowski start a group in order to avoid a potential conflict.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that starting a peer

consultation group is a possibility but she does not want to head up anything right now. She stated that she believes it would be better to attend the one that has been in place for a number of years. Dr. Czajkowski stated that she does recognize that there are other Health Psychologists.

Dr. Haas asked if Dr. Czajkowski is limiting herself based on her issues by not considering other groups.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that she does not believe she is limiting herself.

Dr. Haas reminded Dr. Czajkowski that she had stated that the reason she was requesting permission to attend this group was because there are not many Health Psychologists.

Dr. Czajkowski responded that she had said her reason for requesting permission to attend this group was because it would dovetail where she works and what she does.

Dr. Malovich commented that the Board does not question that the group would be beneficial to Dr. Czajkowski. She stated that the concern of the Board is the dual role of Dr. King being in a supervisory role and Dr. Czajkowski being in the disciplinary role. She stated that there is a subtle difference between a supervisor role and supervising a disciplinary person.

Dr. Czajkowski asked what the Board would suggest for her to do.

Dr. Haas responded that the Board cannot prevent her from joining the group. He stated that the opinion of the Board is that she should not join the group.

Dr. Merryweather responded that if Dr. Czajkowski joined the group then he would recommend Dr. King no longer supervise her and it would be questionable for Dr. Etringer to be a part of the group and to serve on the Board.

Ms. Taxin responded that Dr. Czajkowski could join a group but not that group in order to keep the boundaries clear. She stated that there needs to be clear relationships between the supervisor and the probationer. Ms. Taxin stated that the Board is not anticipating problems but there could be problems.

Dr. Czajkowski stated that she and Dr. King discussed the issues and she would not have asked the Board's permission if Dr. King had not highly recommended she join the group.

Dr. Etringer stated that he and Dr. King also discussed Dr. Czajkowski joining the group. He stated that as he has attended the Board meetings he now is less comfortable with Dr. Czajkowski being in the group at this time. He stated that he believes Dr. Czajkowski would gain by being in the group but, as a Board member, he would like to be cautious and not recommend she join at this time.

Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Czajkowski's reports have been received.

Dr. Malovich stated that the reports were positive and Dr. Czajkowski is in compliance with her Stipulation and Order.

Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Czajkowski is on probation and needs to be sure her reports are submitted in a timely manner. She stated that Dr. Czajkowski's secretary, Joni, is not on probation and is not the responsible person to be calling to check on the dates and times of Dr. Czajkowski's appointments and if information has been received. Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Czajkowski should be the person calling the Division if she needs information. She suggested Dr. Czajkowski contact Ms. Avery, the new Board Secretary, in the future.

An appointment was made for Dr. Czajkowski to meet again April 15, 2008.

11:00 A.M.

Dr. Charles McCusker, Probationary Interview,
and Dr. Vickie Burgess, Supervisor

Dr. McCusker and Dr. Burgess met for Dr.
McCusker's probationary interview.

Ms. Taxin explained the changes taking place at the
Division and introduced Rich Oborn, the new Bureau
Manager, and Lee Avery, the new Board Secretary.

Board members and Division staff were introduced to
Dr. Burgess.

Dr. Merryweather conducted the interview.

**Dr. Merryweather voiced appreciation for Dr.
McCusker and Dr. Burgess attending the meeting
today. He asked Dr. McCusker if there was
anything he needed to make the Board aware of.**

Dr. McCusker responded that he completed the CE
requirement and has just been working. He stated that
he and Dr. Burgess have spent some time reviewing
the HIPPA information and becoming more familiar
with their requirements. He asked the Board how
frequent he needs supervision.

Dr. Burgess explained that they meet every other week
face-to-face and then Dr. McCusker calls her the other
weeks.

**Dr. Merryweather asked what Dr. Burgess and Dr.
McCusker cover in their meetings.**

Dr. McCusker responded that he video tapes clients
sessions for Dr. Burgess to review and if he has
questions then Dr. Burgess answers them for him.

**Dr. Merryweather asked if Dr. McCusker does case
presentations with the video tapes.**

Dr. McCusker responded that he does some case
presentations. He explained that after he completed
his Residency he was always asked to do interactions
with professionals but not always Psychologists. He
stated that Dr. Burgess has a different perspective than
he has.

Dr. Burgess responded that she is more structured than Dr. McCusker. She stated that she is sometimes hard on Dr. McCusker but he has been good about it.

Dr. McCusker responded that he listens and appreciates what Dr. Burgess has to say to him.

Dr. Merryweather stated that the Dr. McCusker has been unclear regarding where he sees his patients, the kinds of consent forms he uses and the general paperwork associated with his practice.

Ms. Taxin stated that at the last appointment Dr. McCusker presented a practice plan and the Board told him to discuss the plan with Dr. Burgess and make some revisions. She stated that the Board needs to be clear regarding what Dr. McCusker's plan is and what forms he is using.

Dr. McCusker responded that his practice plan is the one the Board briefly reviewed and discussed at the last Board meeting. He submitted a HIPPA form and stated that it is the form that he is using.

Dr. Burgess commented that she has given Dr. McCusker a couple of books to read regarding compliance with HIPPA requirements. Dr. Burgess stated that she has also given Dr. McCusker videos to watch and additional books to read. She stated that she has assisted Dr. McCusker with better structure in his life and in his therapy.

Dr. Merryweather asked where Dr. McCusker is seeing his clients.

Dr. McCusker responded that he has a place on 3rd East and also is thinking of seeing clients on about 17th East and 8th South in Salt Lake. He stated that he has been requested to see clients in Highland but that seems to him like a long way to drive.

Dr. Merryweather asked if Dr. McCusker practice was becoming a fulltime practice.

Dr. Burgess responded that they are working toward a fulltime practice.

Dr. Etringer asked Dr. McCusker to address the issue of seeing patients in his home or their home versus the office.

Dr. McCusker responded that he would still go to the patients home if the patient could not come to him due to health reasons or an emergency but does not go to a home or have a patient come to his home unless he knows the person. He stated that for some patients it is personal and for some it is therapeutic.

Dr. Burgess asked what concerns the Board had besides the liability issues.

Dr. Haas responded that he has trouble with Dr. McCusker's reasoning process as therapy in the patient's home or the therapist's home, unless there is a specific home office, is not really standard practice.

Dr. McCusker responded that he generally sees his patients in a specified office setting.

Ms. Taxin suggested Dr. McCusker should have an internal policy as seeing some patients in a specified office setting and others in their own home could be discriminatory. She stated that specific procedures should be in place.

Dr. McCusker responded that he and Dr. Burgess could develop an internal policy.

Dr. Burgess asked if the Board knew of any literature regarding home visits and the challenges of it.

Dr. Haas recommended CE and ethics courses.

Dr. Burgess stated that she has never had the issued covered in any CE course she has attended.

Dr. Etringer recommended Dr. McCusker contact APA for information.

Dr. Haas recommended the book "Managing Risk and Liability".

Ms. Taxin stated that the goal is for Dr. McCusker to develop new standards of care as he has not practiced for quite some time. She stated that Dr. Burgess should make sure his billing is appropriate and any custody evaluations are correct as he did the evaluations without the expertise. She stated that the Board needs to be sure Dr. McCusker is competent over all in his practice.

Dr. Merryweather stated that the Board had concerns regarding the form Dr. McCusker proposed to use when treating minors as it said parental consent was required only for minors under 14 years of age. He asked where Dr. McCusker obtained that form.

Dr. McCusker responded that there are different requirements in different States.

Dr. Burgess responded that Dr. McCusker obtained the language in the form from her and she obtained it from a workshop at Shriner's Hospital. She stated that there is a question that can be asked of the parents regarding confidentiality and it has to be agreed upon among the therapist, the parents and the minor. She stated that parents have legal rights for a child under 18 but the therapist can ask the parent to relinquish that right.

Dr. Merryweather stated Dr. Burgess explanation is a little different that what the form says. He stated that the form should be modified as Dr. Burgess is right regarding the legality of what information can be disclosed to the parents or what the therapist must disclose to the parents.

Dr. Etringer stated that Dr. McCusker should include a signature line for the parents to sign.

Dr. Malovich commented that the release information/form should be separate and not included as a note in the general form Dr. McCusker has presented.

Dr. Burgess responded that she has a release form that she will give Dr. McCusker as an example.

Ms. Taxin requested Dr. McCusker to address issues presented today in his report for the Board.

Dr. McCusker responded that he would. He submitted copies of his insurance billing as the faxed copies were difficult to read.

The Board reviewed the copies and included them in Dr. McCusker's file.

An appointment was made for Dr. McCusker to meet again April 15, 2008.

11:30 A.M.

Dr. Hollie Archibald, Education Review

Dr. Archibald did not meet for her education review. The Division was able to determine that Dr. Archibald met education requirements and issued the Psychology Resident license to Dr. Archibald.

12:00 P.M. to 12:30 P.M.

Working Lunch Break

12:30 P.M.

Dr. Elizabeth Firth, Telephonic Probationary Interview

Dr. Firth was unavailable for her telephonic probationary interview.

The Board tried 3 times to call Dr. Firth with no answer. Ms. Avery left 2 messages and Ms. Taxin left one message.

Dr. Haas stated that the Board tried 3 times with no answer and left 3 messages. He made a motion that Dr. Firth was out of compliance with her Stipulation and Order and she should meet telephonically on April 15, 2008.

Ms. Buhler seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Dr. Jamianne Dee Gill, Probationary Update

Ms. Taxin explained to the Board that she would update them regarding the probation of a new probationer, Dr. Gill.

Close Meeting – 1:45 P.M.

Dr. Etringer made a motion to close the meeting for the update and discussion.

Dr. Merryweather seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

Reopen Meeting – 2:15 P.M.

Following discussion, Dr. Etringer made a motion to reopen the meeting.

Dr. Haas seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

The Board recommended approving Dr. Fordham as Dr. Gill's therapist and Dr. Dalrymple as her supervisor be deferred to the next scheduled Board meeting.

The Board requested Dr. Gill be invited to meet with the Board at the April 15, 2008 meeting for her probationary interview.

2:10 pm. Dr. Malovich had to leave the meeting.

Rule Filing and Rule Discussion

Ms. Taxin explained that the proposed Rules have been filed. She stated that the Rules Hearing is scheduled for February 6, 2008. Ms. Taxin stated that Dr. Klein called her and left a message regarding a change to the proposed Rules. She stated that she has not yet received the information regarding a change. Ms. Taxin stated that the Board and Division may either start again with writing the Rules and file them later or move forward with the Rules Hearing and make the changes later. She stated that if the Board recommends moving forward then the Rules could go into effect by March 10, 2008 but if the Board recommends filing again later it could be May or later before they become effective. She explained that there was a Legislative requirement for the new Rules to be filed by December 2007 and that deadline has been missed. She stated that she now is required to meet before the Legislative

committee to explain why the Rules were not completed by the deadline.

Dr. Klein distributed her 3 comments to Ms. Taxin and the Board.

Ms. Taxin explained that the Rules are in public comment time right now and these 3 comments and one other from an e-mail received but the name was unclear are considered public comment.

Following discussion, Dr. Merryweather made a motion for Ms. Taxin to rewrite the 3 changes discussed and put the 4th item off until a later date. Dr. Etringer seconded the motion. The Board vote was unanimous.

2:37 pm. Dr. Etringer had to leave the meeting.

Proposed Application Comments and Review

Ms. Taxin explained that Dr. Etringer responded to her request to review the proposed application. She recommended the new application be put on hold until the Rules issue has been resolved. She stated that Dr. Etringer did not note any major changes. Ms. Taxin stated that when the Rules have been approved the Division can put the new application in place or amend it.

FYI

Ms. Taxin introduced Rich Oborn, the new Bureau Manager, and Lee Avery, the new Board Secretary, to the Board. Ms. Taxin stated that she and Ms. McCall have enjoyed working with the Board and they are still welcome to call her at any time.

2008 Board Meeting Schedule

The Board noted the following dates for the 2008 Board meeting schedule: April 15, July 15 and October 14, 2008.

CORRESPONDENCE:

ASPPB Correspondence

The Board reviewed the following ASPPB correspondence:

1. Game Plan 2008. Ms. Taxin stated that the information lists the Utah representative as Ted Packard. She stated that he is not a Board member and she was unaware that he was

representing Utah. **Board members did not respond.**

2. Frank M. Dattilio Ph.D., letter regarding Survey on Psychology. **No Board action taken.**
3. Winter 2007 Newsletter. **No Board action taken.**
4. April 2008 Midyear Meeting Information. Ms. Taxin stated that the meeting will be April 10-13, 2008 and a Board member would like to attend there would be funding available. She reminded them that a passport is required to travel into Canada. **No Board action taken.**

Dr. Haas informed the Board that the annual delegate meeting will be October 28-29, 2008 in Nashville, Tennessee.

No Board action taken.

NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR:

April 15, 2008

ADJOURN:

The time is 2:55 pm and the Board meeting is adjourned.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

April 15, 2008
Date Approved

(ss) Natalie Malovich Ph.D
Chairperson, Utah Psychology Licensing Board

February 21, 2008
Date Approved

(ss) Richard Oborn
Bureau Manager, Division of Occupational &
Professional Licensing