

MINUTES

UTAH

Direct Entry Midwife Administrative Rules Committee April 2, 2009

Room 210– 2nd Floor –3:00 p.m.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

CONVENED: 3:13 pm

ADJOURNED: 4:58 pm

Bureau Manager:
Secretary:

Laura Poe
Katherine Klotovich-Wilson

Conducting:

Deborah Ellis, CNM

Board Members Present:

Holly Richardson, LDEM
Heather Johnson, LDEM
Suzanne Smith, LDEM
Stephen Lamb, MD
Catherine Wheeler, MD

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

March 19, 2009 minutes:

Rules Draft:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Dr. Lamb made a Motion to approve the minutes with corrections. Ms. Richardson seconded the Motion. All Committee members in favor.

Committee members discussed section R156-77-602 Informed Consent. Dr. Lamb suggested re-arranging the section to keep like subjects together and suggested rearranging numbers (6) through (10). Committee members agree and Ms. Poe indicated she will make the changes. Dr. Lamb also suggested at the end of (5) add: “**due to the inherent delay in obtaining access to hospital care**”. Add a new (9) to read: “**A 2004 national birth center study revealed women who attempt TOLAC in a birth center setting have an overall transfer rate of 24% and a vaginal delivery rate of 87%**”. Committee members stated this section is accepted with the addition to number (5); addition of (9) and rearranging numbers (6) through (10).

Review the 03/20/2009 Rule Draft:

Definition section: A definition of TOLAC and a

definition of C-section were added. Committee members indicated this section looks good. No additional changes or additions.

Section 303. Renewal. This section looks good, no additional changes or additions.

Section 601. Standards of Practice.

Dr. Lamb suggested rearranging this section in the following order: consultation; mandatory consultation; collaboration; refer; transfer (maybe waived); and mandatory transfer. Ms. Poe indicated she is willing to renumber and rearrange this section.

Dr. Lamb stated at the last meeting he made a motion regarding Rh factor and other red cell isoimmunization. He indicated after further consideration, he feels the following wording would be better and suggested under mandatory consultation (e) add: . . . **“known to cause erythroblastosis fetalis”**. This same wording should also be added under mandatory transfer (xv). Committee members agree.

Dr. Lamb made a Motion to accept the rules with the suggested changes. Dr. Wheeler seconded the Motion. Discussion: Ms. Ellis had a question on page 4 regarding prior c-section without an ultrasound. Ms. Poe indicated this section is saying that if the client does not have an ultrasound, the LDEM can not provide care. Committee members discussed having an ultrasound early versus having the ultrasound completed later if there has been a c-section. The ultrasound needs to confirm that the placenta is not over the scar. Dr. Lamb suggested having the ultrasound completed before 32 weeks. Ms. Smith suggested the ultrasound be completed prior to 35 weeks to rule out placental implantation over the uterine scar. Committee members agreed to the 35 weeks.

Committee members also suggested adding under consultation: prior c-section without a second trimester ultrasound to determine the location of placental implantation. Ms. Johnston stated there are some clients who understand the risks and say no to the

ultrasound because they believe that the ultrasound causes problems for the baby. Ms. Poe stated it has already been agreed that there needs to be an ultrasound and the issue is not when they have the ultrasound, but that they have one completed prior to labor. Dr. Lamb stated he would amend the motion to accept the rules to include: **have an ultrasound completed prior to 35 weeks to rule out placental implantation over the uterine scar.** And to add under consultation, for **prior c-section without a second trimester ultrasound to determine the location of placental implantation.** All Committee members in favor of approving the draft rule with the changes discussed.

Data outcomes discussion:

Ms. Ellis presented a follow-up to the request on whether or not a LDEM could participate in the Department of Health's Perinatal Mortality Committee. Ms. Ellis stated she would be happy to have an LDEM present anytime the Committee had a discussion regarding LDEM issues; however, this Committee is not a provider based forum. The Committee is composed of members according to the Rules and regulations of the Health Department. She reported the purpose of the Committee is to review cases where either the mother or baby dies within one year from perinatal causes. Ms. Ellis stated the Committee rarely reviews a case from an LDEM. Ms. Poe stated that if a case comes up, Ms. Ellis could contact her and Ms. Poe would contact the chair of the LDEM Board. The chair could have a Board member attend the Committee meeting. Ms. Richardson stated her request was to have an LDEM participate in the review of all providers. Ms. Smith stated she would like to have an LDEM participate on a regular basis because the LDEM has different experiences and would provide another perspective for the Committee. Ms. Ellis stated she would like to see a bridge between the LDEM and other health care providers, but does not think this is the group to provide that bridge. Dr. Lamb stated he could make the argument that the LDEM should have a position on the Committee because the LDEM is licensed individual, not institutionally based and the LDEM input would be of value. Ms. Ellis stated she would place this before the Committee for discussion. The individual from the

Health Department indicated there are specific requirements for reporting data. Ms. Richardson stated the LDEM is required by Statute to report to the State Legislature and also report to a national data base.

Ms. Ellis questioned whether or not the LDEM data review currently required in the LDEM Statute and reviewed by LDEM Board members should be moved to the Department of Health after 2011. Dr. Wheeler stated it would be helpful to have another group continue to collect and review the data. Ms. Poe suggested this item be placed on the next agenda for discussion.

Ms. Poe stated she is ready to file the Rule. She indicated the Rule Hearing will be scheduled in conjunction with the LDEM Board meeting and the DEM Administrative Rule Committee meeting could follow the Rule Hearing.

The discussion regarding data collection will be scheduled for the following meeting because it will be a fairly long discussion.

Dr. Lamb stated he appreciated working with the LDEM's. Ms. Smith and Ms. Richardson stated they also appreciated working with Drs. Lamb and Wheeler.

July 30, 2009
Date Approved

(ss) Deborah Ellis
Deborah Ellis, Co-chair

July 30, 2009
Date Approved

(ss) Laura Poe
Laura Poe, Bureau Manager, Division of Occupational &
Professional Licensing