

MINUTES

**UTAH
PHARMACY BOARD**

January 27, 2009

**Room 475 – 4th Floor –8:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

CONVENED: 8:03 a.m.

ADJOURNED: 4:00 p.m.

Bureau Manager:

Laura Poe

Secretary:

Shirlene Kimball

Division Director:

F. David Stanley

Division Staff:

Connie Call, Compliance Specialist

Wayne Holman, Chief Licensing/Investigations

Mitchell Jones, Assistant AG

Larry Gooch, Investigative supervisor

Kent Barnes, Compliance manager

Conducting:

Roger Fitzpatrick, RPh

Board Members Present:

Roger Fitzpatrick, RPh

Betty Yamashita, RPh

Edgar Cortes, Pharmacy Technician

David Young, PharmD

Dominic DeRose, RPh

Kelly Lundberg, PhD., Public Member

Derek Garn, RPh

Guests:

Francine Giani, Director, Department of Commerce

Thad Levar, Deputy Director, Dept of Commerce

Rick Leimbach, Division of Technology Services

Darcy Trimble, Division of Technology Services

Marv Sims, Controlled Substance Data Base Admin

Tom Harper, DOPL

Greg Jensen, Target

Jaime Petersen, Walgreens

Linda Sandberg, Omnicare

Tom Reese, Walgreens

Gerry Hassell, Wal-Mart

Rosie Koehler, Salt Lake Tooele ATC

Reid Barker, UPhA

Lance Lindberg, College of Pharmacy Student

Jana Goodell, College of Pharmacy Student

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

December 16, 2008 Minutes:

Connie Call, Compliance Report:

Discussion regarding Controlled substance
Data Base:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Mr. DeRose made a Motion to approve the December 16, 2008 minutes with corrections. Ms. Yamashita seconded the Motion. All Board members in favor.

Ms. Call reported the following individuals are out of compliance with the terms and conditions of their Orders: Sheryl Ledet; Jennifer Bringhurst and Alfonso Montano.

The following individuals are in compliance with the terms and conditions of their Orders: Andrea Lowry; Mary Jo Cates; Mike Hodges; Chad Smith, Aidee Torres, Thomas Strebel; Phuong Sheffer and Richard Lowe.

Mr. Stanley reported House bill 119 was the study to bring real time reporting to the controlled substance data base. Mr. Stanley indicated \$175,000 was allotted to keep the study ongoing. The money has now been taken away and Mr. Stanley indicated the Department is considering the feasibility of a pilot program to move to daily reporting instead of real time reporting. Mr. Stanley stated the Department and the Division would like input from the Board on whether or not it is viable for pharmacies to report daily. Mr. Fitzpatrick indicated his software will allow him to report daily and some of the chain stores will have software that will allow them to report, but there are a lot of independent pharmacies that may not be able to report daily. Mr. Garn stated Wal-Mart may be able to report daily with programming changes. However, Mr. Garn stated he sees a problem with the client who has a prescription filled, but does not pick it up and the prescription is returned. The prescription is reported to the controlled substance data base as being filled. Mr. Garn stated a probationer recently had a problem where a controlled substance prescription was filled for her, she did not pick it up, but it was reported to the controlled substance data base and she now needs to get a letter from the pharmacist indicating she did not pick up the prescription or she will be out of compliance with her Order. Board members expressed

concern there may be some false positive data.

Ms. Yamashita questioned when should the pharmacy report, when the prescription is filled or when it is picked-up? Mr. Sims indicated some pharmacies do not report until the prescription is actually picked up, others report at the time the prescription is filled (dispensed). Mr. Sims stated there is a place on the software to report a reversal, but it would require requesting a separate report and the reversal must be done manually. Ms. Poe questioned the purpose of the database. If it is to stop doctor shopping, shouldn't the prescription be reported at the time it is picked-up and not at the time it is filled? Ms. Giani questioned the percentage of prescription reversal? Mr. Sims reported not very many controlled substances are reversed, there are more non-controlled substances reversed but he is not sure of the percentage. A representative from Target pharmacies stated reversals are done at Target at 13 days. Ms. Trimble stated she understands the problem and questioned what would be the most accurate, at the time the prescription is filled, or at the time the prescription is picked up? Most agreed that it would be best to collect the data at the time the prescription is picked up. However, most pharmacies report at the time the prescription is filled.

Mr. Fitzpatrick questioned Mr. Sims regarding the types of software and how many vendors are involved? Mr. Sims indicated there are about 20 different vendors. Mr. Fitzpatrick questioned whether or not a letter could be sent to the vendors asking them their processes, specifically if they report at the time the medications are dispensed or at the time of pick up. Mr. Sims stated that the vendors sell their products, then the pharmacy modifies, so the letter would also need to be sent to the pharmacies. Mr. Dave Davis indicated he represents pharmacies that use numerous types of systems. He agrees that it would be best at the time the prescription is picked up, but that would require software changes. There was a provision in the bill that retailers would be held harmless as far as costs in this project. There would be costs involved to the pharmacies if they have to change their software.

Ms. Giani indicated the Legislature is concerned with

controlled substance and public safety. The reason they are here today is because of the budget crisis and the Department would like to make changes in a cost effective way. The Legislature wanted real time reporting. Ms. Giani stated she has requested Tom Harper, with the Division, take a look at the data base to see how to make it better, speed up the process and go out and teach the physicians how to use the data base. She stated the Department does not want to burden the pharmacies, but is trying to do what the Legislature wants with less money.

A guest indicated that from a pharmacy perspective, daily reporting is better than real time. Mr. Stanley stated the Division has developed Rule language to move to daily reporting. Mr. Jones handed out the proposed language for the Board's review. The language changes real time to a daily basis and designates the geographical area that will participate in the pilot program as Salt Lake and Davis counties. Mr. Fitzpatrick questioned why the language is limited to Salt Lake and Davis County for the pilot? Mr. Fitzpatrick stated doctor shopping occurs all over, but most frequently in the four counties from Utah County to Weber County. Mr. Sims stated the pilot could be extended to other counties, or even to anyone who wanted to participate. There was concern expressed that chain stores located throughout the State may be required to use two different systems if they participate in the pilot. One for daily reporting in the target counties and for weekly reporting in stores outside the target areas. We would be forcing them to submit two different reports. Mr. Levar stated that those in the pilot area are encouraged to report, but it will not be mandatory. A concern was also expressed that if a pharmacy is closed one day it will look like they are not reporting. Mr. Jones stated he does not think this is a problem and language can be added to indicate daily reporting when the pharmacy is open. Mr. Leimbach stated they have been responsive to concerns expressed by users and have spent time evaluating and streamlining the log-in process and have provided information on how to get to the reports as quickly as possible.

Ms. Poe indicated she is hearing from the discussion

that it is acceptable going to daily reporting; the time of reporting will not have an effect on the controlled substance data base, but may have an effect on the pharmacy data base. Mr. Stanley stated the Division is ready to move forward with the daily reporting language. The pilot program should begin this summer and run thru July 1, 2010. Mr. Fitzpatrick questioned when the rest of the pharmacies could participate? Mr. Holman stated the Division would not limit the reporting and if a pharmacy outside the pilot county wanted to participate, they could participate. Ms. Yamashita stated many in the community would like to participate in the pilot and need to be informed that they can participate. Mr. Garn stated the only clarification that needs to be address is the reporting time. If we say at the time dispensed, we will need to define dispense. It needs to be very clear if it is when the prescription is processed or when picked up. We need to make sure all pharmacies are reporting the same time. Board members indicated to the Department and the Division to move forward with the daily reporting language. Any pharmacy or pharmacy group who reports to the controlled substance data base at the point of sale is eligible to participate in the pilot program. Reporting would only be required on days the pharmacy is opened. All Board members agree.

Andrea Lowry,
Interview:

Mr. Fitzpatrick conducted the interview. Ms. Lowry stated she is not working and is at home with her children. She reported the plea in abeyance with the Court requires her to maintain her license. She stated she will re-evaluate her options May, 2009 when she is through with Court probation. At that time she will determine whether or not she wants to keep her license. Ms. Poe stated the Division has recommended to the Board that if she continues to call CVI everyday and her number came up, she would be excused from testing as long as she is not working in pharmacy. Ms. Lowry stated she would be in agreement with this arrangement. She reported she attends LDS 12-step meetings and AA meetings. She indicated she is attending six meetings per month and stated she does not feel they are helpful. She would like to reduce the number of meetings she has to attend. She stated she does not attend PIR meetings

because the closest meeting is in Orem. Mr. Fitzpatrick made a Motion to reduce attendance at 12-step or PIR meetings to four per month and to excuse her from urine screens as long as she is unemployed and continues to call CVI everyday. Mr. Young seconded the Motion. All Board members in favor. Board members requested she submit the documentation from the Court by the end of May. **Ms. Lowry is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order.**

Mary Jo Cates,
probation interview:

Ms. Yamashita conducted the interview. Ms. Cates submitted the controlled substances inventory for review. She reported she continues to work at Smith's in Layton 23 hours per week. She indicated she sometimes works less than 16 hours a week, but makes it up in the pay period. Board members indicated this would be acceptable. She reported things are going well at work. She is requesting general supervision and being able to order medications. She indicated she has been on probation for a year and feels like she is in a good place. Ms. Yamashita questioned if she has feelings of relapse? Ms. Cates indicated she has occasional thoughts, but she does not want to go back and suffer the consequences. She reported her triggers are stress. She stated she has been clean and sober since January 2007. Mr. Fitzpatrick questioned how things are different now than her previous two relapses? She stated she is working her recovery program and likes where she is at. She indicated she handled the situation wrong, and took things for granted the last time she relapsed. She understands the consequences this time and if she fails, she understands she will lose her license. Board members indicated they would like to have the employer submit a letter of support for general supervision and the Board would consider her request at the April meeting. The latest employer report indicates she is improving. The supervisor needs to address his/her comfort level with Ms. Cates working under general supervision. It was also suggested she have the pharmacy technicians submit a letter indicating their comfort level working with her with general supervision. The supervisor should also address the ordering of medications. She will be invited back in April to discuss the request. **Ms.**

Cates is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation.

Sheryl Ledet,
Interview:

Mr. Young conducted the interview. Ms. Ledet indicated she is still working at Smith's 20 hours a week. Ms. Ledet was late submitting her reports and she indicated she has been out of town. She reported her mother is terminally ill and she spent time with her. Ms. Ledet is also missing two prescriptions and she indicated she will get these submitted. She stated she is happy with work, has not been depressed and is putting together the rest of her life. She is financially better, doing things again and moving in a positive direction. She stated she has a support system in place and sees her therapist once a month. She is under the direct supervision of a pharmacist and does not want this changed. She stated she only works 20 hours per week for her protection and does not want to work more hours and place herself physically at risk. She will meet with the Board in April. **Ms. Ledet is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order because she submitted reports late and needs to submit copies of prescriptions.**

Break at 10:40 a.m.
Reconvened: 11:00 a.m.

Jennica Bringhurst,
Interview:

Mr. DeRose conducted the interview. Ms. Bringhurst reported K-Mart let her go after she was placed on probation because they did not want to fill out the employer reports. She stated the district manager was the one who fired her and that the pharmacist she was working with was okay with her probation. She indicated her last day working was January 19, 2009 and she is not currently employed. Ms. Call indicated Ms. Bringhurst will need to have the employer submit the report for the last quarter; then if she continues to be unemployed in pharmacy, she will need to submit the employer report indicating she is not currently employed in pharmacy. She has not submitted her PIR or 12-step attendance reports. Ms. Bringhurst stated she is attending PIR at McKay Hospital, but they charge \$250.00 for six months attendance. Ms. Call informed her that the Ogden area is trying to establish a new PIR group. Ms. Bringhurst stated she is very discouraged. She stated she does not have a

drug problem. Ms. Bringhurst's mother stated that if the Board reviews the counselor report, the report indicates no drug abuse problems. The evaluator did support the Board's stipulation. She feels her daughter would be better served if she received counseling for depression. Ms. Bringhurst stated she has thought about attending counseling twice a month. Dr. Lundberg questioned what issues would she work on. Ms. Bringhurst stated anxiety and depression. Her mother stated Ms. Bringhurst was an optimistic person, but her personality has changed since the probation. Ms. Poe indicated, based on an evaluation, changes can be made to the stipulation. The mother stated she feels it would be more beneficial for her daughter to receive counseling for depression than attend 12-step meetings. The mother indicated Ms. Bringhurst has friends that do not use, and people who attend AA meetings have hit rock bottom or have a drug problem and she is afraid that if her daughter attends AA meetings, she may be swayed by them because they have become her peers. The mother stated she feels counseling would be more helpful. Dr. Lundberg stated she would agree that counseling would be a better fit. Ms. Poe indicated the order could be amended to terminate the PIR and 12-step meeting attendance, and under therapy require her to attend therapy x amount of times, meet with an approved therapist, and work on anxiety and depression. Mr. Cortes stated her priorities need to be set and she needs to meet the stipulation requirements. Ms. Poe indicated the request should be tabled to give the Board time to review the evaluation. The Board would like to see her on track, would like to see her succeed, but also be safe for the public. Ms. Bringhurst has not submitted her essay. She needs to understand the Order and come into compliance and remain in compliance. The employer report needs to be submitted and should be signed by the pharmacist in charge not the district manager. Board members indicated they will review the therapist report and come up with a recommendation.

Board members requested Ms. Call contact K-Mart to find out if she was terminated because she misrepresented herself as being licensed when she was only a technician in training, or if she was let go for

other reasons. The Board may consider asking the district manager to come before the Board to see if he/she understands the Order. **Ms. Bringhurst is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order. She needs to submit all paperwork.**

Tera Imes,
New application:

Mr. Fitzpatrick conducted the interview. Ms. Imes submitted an application for licensure as a pharmacy technician. She had received two misdemeanors, one in October 2005 for leaving the site of an accident and the second DUI in 2007 for operating a vehicle under the influence. She stated she received one year probation, which she has completed. She stated she just needs to pay the \$800.00 court fine. She indicated she should have this paid by March 2009. She stated she had to attend court ordered classes, attend AA meetings and family counseling. She stated she still attends AA counseling classes. She indicated she completed the 18 weeks as required, but has attended an additional 16 weeks on her own. She indicated she went through the pharmacy technician program at SLCC. She is attending DATC and taking the certified nurse assistant course. She stated she is actively looking for a pharmacy technician job and is waiting to receive her license. She reported she has been sober 10 months. She stated she has learned from the process, attends AA meetings in Clearfield, and Ogden Regional Medical Center. Board members questioned how she defines sobriety. She stated she does not drink alcohol. Board members asked if she defines herself as an alcoholic. She stated she did and drank from the age of 17 to the time of the second accident. Now she stated she does not feel she is an alcoholic. She stated she does not plan to drink alcohol again and feels better sober. She stated her last meeting at Positive Adjustments was in November. She stated she no longer spends time with the group of friends that got her into trouble. Dr. Lundberg made a Motion to issue the license on a one year probation, attend AA meetings twice a month, attend classes at Positive Adjustment (life skills) twice a month, (no PIR), random urine screens and standard terms and conditions. Ms. Yamashita seconded the Motion. All Board members in favor.

J. Michael Hodges,

Mr. Young conducted the interview. Mr. Hodges

Interview:

stated he was working at 4 Care Pharmacy until the end of October, and then he was informed they were cutting staff and he has not worked regularly since then. He stated he worked there one day last week, and two days this week. He stated he has picked up some hours but not full time. He indicated he was working at Meds for Vets during Christmas. He stated he is still on the payroll for 4 Care Pharmacy. He stated he has been looking for a job, has had several calls, no interviews, most have said no to the conditional license. Ms. Poe stated he can not work for a temporary agency. He was requested to provide the number of hours he works each month and where he is working. He stated he understands he can not be employed by a temporary agency. He stated that his understanding initially was that it did not matter how he got the job. He stated he went to work at 4 Care and was hired by 4 Care and not through RX Relief. Mr. Hodges stated he will send his check stubs to Ms. Call to indicate when he initially began to work so that the probation will not be extended for that period of time. He questioned if he finds work, does he have to be supervised all the time? What happens if he works alone for a couple of hours? Board members indicated he can not work unsupervised and he can not open or close alone. He questioned if he could be supervised by two different pharmacists? Board members indicated he could as long as the PIC signs the reports. He reported the boundaries course is going well. He stated he has read the book once and is half way through it again. He indicated it is a twelve week course and still meets with the therapist. Board members indicated that when he has completed the course, the therapist will need to submit documentation of completion and he will need to make an oral presentation to the Board on what he has learned. His next meeting is scheduled for April 28, 2009 and he should have the boundaries course completed. He will also need to update the Board on his employment status. If he is working at two different places, he should submit two different employer reports. Mr. Hodges stated he understands. Board members indicated they are trying to support him through the process. **Mr. Hodges is in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.**

Recess for lunch at 12:20 p.m.
Reconvened: 1:06 p.m.

Discussion regarding Jennica Bringhurst: Ms. Poe indicated she reviewed the therapist report. As stated earlier, the evaluator indicated Ms. Bringhurst does not meet the criteria of a substance abuser, and the substance abuse was more incidental. The evaluator identifies anxiety, depression and judgment issues. Dr. Lundberg made a Motion that in lieu of 12-step or PIR attendance, Ms. Bringhurst participates in individual therapy twice a month to address anxiety, depression and judgment issues. The Motion was seconded. Discussion: Mr. Cortes stated he feels attendance at PIR and AA classes would be helpful for Ms. Bringhurst to learn from others in their situation. Mr. Cortes opposed the Motion, Mr. Garn abstained. All other Board members in favor. Motion passed.

Chad Smith,
New Order:

Dr. Lundberg conducted the interview. Mr. Smith explained the circumstances that brought him before the Board. He stated he does not abuse medications. The therapist who conducted the evaluation indicated there were no concerns regarding substance abuse. He submitted the name of his prescribing practitioner for his file. His employer submitted a letter indicating the Order was reviewed. Mr. Smith stated he understands the terms and conditions of the Order. Mr. Smith's probation is for 6 months and Mr. Fitzpatrick requested Mr. Smith meet with the Board in June prior to the termination of probation to see how he is doing. **Mr. Smith is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order.**

Aidee Torres,
New Order:

Dr. Lundberg conducted the interview. Ms. Torres explained the circumstance that brought her before Board. Ms. Torres stated she is on court probation and last used street drugs the day of her arrest two years ago. Her Order requires a current evaluation and she indicated she will have it completed within the 90 day period. She stated she is currently employed at K-Mart Pharmacy and her supervisor is aware of the probation and is willing to sign her reports. She will need to complete the essay including what and why this happened, what is different, what she has learned

from the experience and life circumstances at the time. Ms. Torres stated she has not attended PIR or AA meetings and does not need to until she completes the evaluation and the evaluation indicates she needs to attend 12-step meetings. She indicated she is pregnant and had questions regarding maternity leave and whether or not that time off will stop the probation time. Board members indicated no, unless she does not return to work. Board members indicated the evaluation and essay need to be submitted by the March meeting. Once she has met with the Board in March, then we can do a telephone interview for the next meeting. **Ms. Torres is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order.**

San Rafael Chemical,
Interview:

Mr. Meibos did not appear for the interview. However, it is not clear whether or not a letter was sent and he will not be considered out of compliance.

Break 1:50 p.m.
Reconvened: 2:15 p.m.

Thomas Strebel,
New Order:

Mr. Garn conducted the interview. Mr. Strebel stated he understands the terms and conditions of the Order. He stated he has the opportunity to work 16 hours per week at Stapley Pharmacy; however, he needed to check with the Board to see if he is allowed to work in a pharmacy that is on probation. Board members indicated he could work there as long as the pharmacist in charge remains in good standing. Board members did express concern with the OIG list. Mr. Strebel stated he has to be licensed in good standing to get off the OIG list. Board members indicated if he works this out with Mr. Stapley, and decides to work there, he must submit a practice plan and a letter indicating how he will be supervised and address the OIG situation. Mr. Strebel expressed frustration at trying to find a job and feels the supervision restriction hampers his finding a job. He will be seen again April 28, 2009. **Mr. Strebel is in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.**

Review Pharmacy Technician Program
approval requests:

Mr. Cortes will review Mountain View Pharmacy technician program and Parowan Pharmacy technician program and will report to the Board next month.

Discussion regarding Pharmacy Technician
Program – Branch locations:

Rosie Koehler from Salt Lake Tooele ATC met with the Board to discuss pharmacy technician branch locations. She indicated Granite has a partnership with the ATC, where they share instructors and resources. Granite offers the program in the mornings to high school students and the ATC offers evening classes to students at the ATC. Ms. Koehler stated they will no longer share the same instructor, but everything else remains the same. Mr. Fitzpatrick stated that the Board made an Ogden Pharmacy resubmit the curriculum when they requested an expansion. Why would we not require the ATC to also resubmit? The question is why we can't just add to the list instead of making them resubmit. Mr. Young questioned whether or not the resources cover both programs. If they are splitting, is one program going to suffer? Ms. Koehler indicated content area is the same and the exams are the same. However, the amount of time with the student is different. If the curriculum is changed, then we would have to approve. Ms. Poe questioned whether or not Granite would be a branch or if it would be two separate programs using the same curriculum. Administration is handled by one person on one campus. Board members indicated as long as they are teaching the same curriculum and the outcomes are the same, they would be approved and listed as Granite Technical Institute at 2500 South State, Salt lake City Utah 84111. The contact person would be Rosie Koehler. Ms. Poe asked if a program changes to an online program, does it need to be reviewed. If the curriculum and outcomes remain the same and the tests are the same, then the Board does not need to approve the change and the on-line course would be approved.

Mr. Fitzpatrick stated the NABP has a task force looking at the issue of accrediting pharmacy technician programs. Should we accept ACPE or ASHP (American Society of Health System Pharmacist) accreditation? If so, there would need to be a phase-in period. This will be discussed at the NABP May meeting and Mr. Garn and Mr. Young need to bring back information from the Miami meeting. There is concern that the accreditation cost is

high and a lot of programs may not be able to afford accreditation. Mr. Cortes stated something has to be done, it needs to be safe. Mr. Fitzpatrick stated we need to wait to see if there is going to be a national standard. The report will be out in May. Mr. Cortes stated if the Board had a rule, he could go to the higher ups and say this is the criteria that needs to be met. Mr. Garn stated he does not know what Wal-Mart would do, because accreditation is so expensive and they may withdraw and no longer offer the program. Mr. Cortes stated he would be willing to wait until the May meeting to continue discussing this issue.

List of Rule changes:

Mr. Garn suggested the technician ratio be increased to 4 to 1. He indicated a lot of states have done way with the ratio all together. Idaho is considering 6 to 1 ratio and will not specify technicians or interns. Ms. Yamashita stated it would depend on the pharmacy system and the work flow. She stated four pharmacy technicians would be difficult if the pharmacist also has interns and pharmacy technicians in training. Mr. Garn stated technology has increased the accuracy and an extra technician would not hurt.

Mr. Young stated he would like to change the flu vaccine protocol to allow pharmacist to give the flu vaccine to children. The current protocol allows only for ages over 13.

PIC minimum requirements. Mr. Garn questioned why the guidelines for PIC were taken out of the rules. Ms. Poe indicated there were problems with the pharmacists feeling the Division was telling them everything that should be done.

There is nothing in the rule regarding what the investigator is looking for the inspection of a new pharmacy. There needs to be some kind of guideline, or if the investigator uses a checklist, could we formalize the checklist as minimum requirements?

Look at the length of time a hard copy of a prescription needs to be kept. We need to be forward thinking. Medicare Part D requirement is to retain records for 10 years. We may want to do the same for all prescriptions. At some point, the Board should

think about electronic storage of prescriptions. This is a big issue. The Board may want to involve those in long term care and assisted living.

Disposal: different agencies have different rules, hard to know who to talk to.

Remote order pharmacies.

Central fill.

Dispensing error, quality assurance, medication error reporting. The pharmacist has the ultimate liability and needs to be more aware. Mr. DeRose stated he keeps track of the dispensing error, but it doesn't go anywhere. Mr. Young suggested keeping an account of what happened, but do not include patient names. If a pattern emerges, the errors can be eliminated. An internal tracking would be a start. But what constitutes an error? We will have to be specific on what to report and not be punitive.

Rebecca Burton, request for termination of probation:

Ms. Burton submitted a letter as requested by the Board explaining what changes she has made. Mr. Garn made a Motion to terminate probation. Mr. Cortes seconded the Motion. All Board members in favor.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

February 24, 2009
Date Approved

(ss) Roger Fitzpatrick
Roger Fitzpatrick, Chair Board of Pharmacy

February 24, 2009
Date Approved

(ss) Laura Poe
Laura Poe, Bureau Manager, Division of Occupational & Professional Licensing