

MINUTES

**UTAH
PHARMACY
BOARD MEETING**

January 25, 2011

**Room 474 – 4th Floor – 8:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

Convened: 8:04 a.m.

Conducting: Dominic DeRose, Chair

Bureau Manager: Noel Taxin
Board Secretary: Shirlene Kimball
Compliance Specialist: Connie Call

Board Members Present: Derek Garn, R.Ph.
Dominic DeRose, R.Ph.
Kelly Lundberg, PhD public member
Jan Bird, CPhT, pharmacy technician
Andrea Kemper, Pharm D
David C. Young, R. Ph
Gregory Jones, R.Ph

Guests: David Nay, Medco Health
John Sisto, Medco
Greg Jensen, Target
Jaime Peterson, Walgreens
Jennifer Pilcher, USN Pharmacy Intern
David Cheney Associated Foods
Robert Hansen, Wal-Mart
Phillip Wilson, U of U
Betty Yamashita, IHC
Reid Barker, UPhA
Dale Reeves, ARS
Jeff Wilson ARS

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

December 14, 2010 Minutes:

Connie Call,
Compliance Report:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The December 14, 2010 Board minutes were approved with corrections. All Board members were in favor.

Ms. Call reported the following individuals were out of compliance with the terms and conditions of their

Orders:

Sheryl Ledet. Ms. Ledet continues to be consistently late on submitting her paperwork and has not submitted her self assessment or therapy reports. She only attended one PIR meeting in January. Dr. Lundberg stated she feels that a citation should be issued for non-compliance to the terms and conditions of her Order. Ms. Taxin stated a citation would be appropriate and Board members discussed issuing a \$100.00 citation.

Susan Macon is out of compliance for a positive urine screen for ETG in December. Ms. Macon has been non-compliant previously for ingesting alcohol and Board members recommend she be issued a \$100.00 citation.

Ms. Call reported the following individuals are in compliance with the terms and conditions of their Orders: Andrea Lowery, Phuong Sheffer, Paul Martz and Heather Palmer. She reported James Bee is in compliance with his paperwork; however, he did not meet with the Board last month due to an address change and did not receive his meeting notice.

Mary Jo Cates is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order; however, she missed calling CVI three times and is considered out of compliance with the policy that she must call CVI everyday. The employer report also reflects problems at work. Ms. Taxin stated the Board may want to move Ms. Cates back to direct supervision if she continues to have issues with employment. Ms. Call stated Ms. Cates would like the October 2010 minutes changed to reflect she was in compliance with her Order. During that meeting, Ms. Call reported Ms. Cates was in compliance even though the inventory audit had not been submitted because the employer thought the audit was no longer necessary. Mr. Garn stated the Board considered her out of compliance because the audit was not received.

Zion's Pharmacy is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order; however, a complete inventory needs to be completed prior to termination

of probation. Board members would like to see the inspection completed within the next two weeks.

Dennis Beasley needs to submit the required evaluations.

Brent McFadden: Ms. Taxin reported the Division received a pharmacy application where Mr. McFadden will be co-owner. She voiced concern that since Mr. McFadden was placed on probation he has gone from being employed and having issues to wanting to own a pharmacy. Ms. Taxin also expressed concern with the request to allow Mrs. McFadden to be his supervisor and stated she feels it may be a conflict of interest. Ms. Taxin stated the Order does not prohibit him from owning a pharmacy. Ms. Taxin stated that if the Order requires Board approval of the supervisor, the Board would determine whether or not to approve Mrs. McFadden as his supervisor. It is noted that if approved as his supervisor, Mrs. McFadden will have three different roles, spouse, business partner, and supervisor. Mr. Jones stated the Board's job is to protect the public and he would feel more comfortable if Mr. McFadden found an outside supervisor. Dr. Lundberg stated in terms of recovery, she is concerned with the position in which Mrs. McFadden will be placed. Ms. Taxin suggested that an outside supervisor could be approved to come into the pharmacy as often as determined by the Board to look at the files and to speak with Mrs. McFadden and the pharmacy technician.

Zion's Pharmacy -Kurtney Stirland,
Telephone Interview:

The Board received the inspection report from Ms. Hess and a number of concerns were noted. Mr. Stirland needs to complete a Schedule 2 inventory and a Schedule 3-5 inventory. Board members indicated Mr. Stirland should be completing a controlled substance inventory every year according to statute and rules. Mr. Stirland stated he completed a Schedule 3-5 inventory on Saturday and will send it to Ms. Call. Another concern Ms. Hess expressed was in regards to the automated dispensing machine. The correct dispensing date needs to be added.

Ms. Hess also expressed concern with the set up of the pharmacy. Mr. Stirland stated the point of sale is at

the front door and if the customers sign for the medications at the pharmacy, the customer may not pay for the medications. He indicated he could move the signature pad back to the pharmacy but he would rather have it at the front of the store to prevent theft. He reported the customer picks up the medications from the pharmacist, the pharmacist offers to counsel, the client goes to the front, signs a signature pad indicating they have picked up the medications and they acknowledge they have received counseling or have waived counseling. Mr. DeRose thanked Mr. Stirland for the clarification.

Mr. DeRose stated he was not aware Mr. Strickland was compounding. Mr. Strickland stated he compounds 10 or 15 requests a week. He stated he does not compound in batches and there is not a lot of repetition. Mr. Stirland stated he understands he did not label the compounded drugs correctly by leaving off the batch numbers; however, he stated he can track the product if he needs to by lot number. He stated he will make the corrections. Mr. Stirland stated he will complete the required continuing education hours before September. Mr. DeRose stated Mr. Stirland has made some significant changes. Dr. Lundberg reminded Mr. Stirland he can not terminate probation until he has completed all requirements of the Order.

Mr. Stirland and Zion's Pharmacy is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order. The next meeting with the Board will be April 26, 2011.

Sheryl Ledet,
Interview:

Ms. Ledet reported work has been stressful, but she has been able to work through the stress. She indicated she has been at this job longer than any other job in the last ten years. She reported her co-workers have been very supportive. She also indicated her home life has been stressful and she is currently dealing with her husband's health issues. She indicated the controlled substance pain medications prescribed for her husband has not been an issue for her and she reported she does not have any cravings. She missed a number of PIR meetings and she reported she is currently in the process of making up the missed meetings. She stated she feels she is back on track. Ms. Ledet stated she understands she is

already out of compliance for the next quarter. Ms. Taxin questioned whether or not the PIR meetings are helpful. Ms. Ledet stated yes. She reported she also likes the AA meetings and she can connect with individuals at those meetings. Board members expressed concern with the ongoing issue of non-compliance and indicated Ms. Ledet has been non-compliant since July 2008. Board members indicated they are not concerned regarding a relapse, but she needs to submit her paperwork on time. Board members discussed issuing a \$100.00 citation for non-compliance. Ms. Ledet stated she understands she has been pushing the limit, but her new resolution is to get her life in order and submit everything in a timely manner. A Motion was made to issue a \$100.00 citation for continued non-compliance. The Motion was seconded. All Board members were in favor of the Motion. **Ms. Ledet is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order and will be seen again April 26, 2011.**

Phuong Sheffer,
Probation Interview and request for
termination of probation:

Mr. Sheffer reported things are going well. He indicated he continues to volunteer at the 4th Street Clinic and his supervisor reports have been excellent. Mr. Sheffer requested termination of probation. His Order was signed in 2008 and he was placed on probation for three years. Dr. Lundberg commended Mr. Sheffer for doing well in the probation and being very compliant. Dr. Lundberg made a Motion to approve the request for early termination. Dr. Kemper seconded the Motion. All Board members were in favor of the Motion. Mr. Sheffer requested a letter from the Division regarding his compliance. Ms. Taxin stated a letter will be drafted in his behalf. **Mr. Sheffer is current on all reports and is in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.**

Andrea Lowry,
Annual Probation Interview:

Ms. Lowry stated she is taking care of her children and is not currently working as a pharmacist. She reported her court charges have been dismissed and she is considering returning to work this year; however she would like to work only one or two days per week. She stated she is having difficulty finding a pharmacy in her area that has two pharmacists so that she can work under supervision. She questioned if her Order

could be amended to allow for general supervision. Dr. Lundberg stated the Board likes to monitor a probationer for a period of time under direct supervision before allowing general supervision. Ms. Lowry stated it has been 3 ½ years since she last worked. She reported she has been clean and sober over five years and is not tempted to use. She stated she has been attending 12-step meetings, but doesn't feel like they are that helpful. She stated she likes the LDS 12-step meetings.

Ms. Lowry requested she be allowed to work a minimum of 8 hours to keep the probation going and to be allowed to work under general supervision. Mr. Garn stated he would be comfortable with both requests. Mr. Jones made a Motion to amend the Order to allow Ms. Lowry to work between 8 and 48 hours per week to keep the probation on track. Ms. Bird seconded the Motion. All Board members were in favor. Board members discussed her request for general supervision. Ms. Taxin questioned whether or not she has a plan in place if she returns to work and the stress becomes overwhelming. Ms. Lowry stated she feels it would be no more stressful than now. Ms. Lowry stated she calls family, friends or her sponsor, reads and attend meetings. She stated she would remind herself what she stands to lose and would stop the process early when she begins to have thoughts of relapse. Mr. Jones stated that if the Board approves general supervision, she could not be alone in the pharmacy. She would also need to meet with the Board on a quarterly basis. Dr. Lundberg stated Ms. Lowry needs to be prepared to go in assuming she could relapse and that she is not immune to relapse, but that she has confidence in the tools she has developed and will recognize the signs and have adequate coping skills in place. Mr. Garn made a Motion to allow for general supervision, however, she can not be alone in the pharmacy. Mr. Jones seconded the Motion. All Board members were in favor of the Motion. **Ms. Lowry is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order.** She will be seen in one year unless she becomes employed, and then at that time, she will meet with the Board quarterly.

Break 10:25 a.m.

Reconvened: 10:35 a.m.

Susan Macon,
Probation Interview:

Ms. Macon stated she is in a holding pattern waiting for the probation to be over so she can get on with her life. She had a positive urine screen in December for alcohol and stated she attended a Christmas party and ate rum balls, but did not drink alcohol. Dr. Kemper stated the positive urine screen can not be explained by food. Dr. Kemper indicated the Board has previously discussed with her that her Order does not allow her to drink alcohol. Her employer reports aren't as progressive and the last two self assessment forms have not been filled out completely. Board members indicated she needs to put more thought into the self assessment reports and include what she has learned in the recovery process. Dr. Lundberg questioned whether or not she is taking the probation seriously? Ms. Macon stated yes and maintains that she did not ingest any alcohol in December or January. It appears that she continues to ingest alcohol, and the Board will not consider termination of probation. Five of the fifteen urine screens have been positive, and one urine screen was out of range. Ms. Macon did not have an explanation for the dilute urine screen. Ms. Taxin stated that if she is drinking a lot of water, etc, her urine will be out of range. If the urine screens continue to be out of range, they will be considered positive screens. Board members discussed issuing a citation due to the non compliance. Board members recommended a \$100.00 citation be issued which is the minimum action the Board can take at this time. Dr. Kemper made a Motion to impose a \$100.00 citation for positive urine screens, an out of range urine screen and non compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order. Ms. Bird seconded the Motion. All Board members voted in favor of the Motion. **Ms. Macon is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of her Stipulation and Order.** She will be seen April 26, 2011.

Mary Jo Cates,
Quarterly Probation Interview:

Ms. Cates indicated she would like the October 2010 minutes clarified because Ms. Call had stated she was in compliance with her Order, but the Board indicated she was out of compliance with the Order for failing to submit the inventory audit. Ms. Cates stated the Board had considered moving her to bi-annual meetings if

she was in compliance with the Order. Ms. Call stated she reported Ms. Cates in compliance because the employer thought that when Ms. Cates was moved to general supervision an inventory was no longer necessary. Mr. Garn stated that technically, Ms. Cates was out of compliance. He suggested we indicate that the employer did not submit the inventory, but it is ultimately the probationer's responsibility to make sure he/she is in compliance with their Order.

Ms. Cates stated her bad luck is continuing and she is feeling overwhelmed; however, she stated she has not relapsed. Board members also indicated they are concerned with the report from the employer. Board members questioned whether or not the action was related to being taken off direct supervision and placed on general supervision. Ms. Cates indicated she had a questioned while working alone and had contacted another pharmacist who was not working at the time. Ms. Cates indicated she is not as focused as she should be and stated she will be more focused to prove to the Board she is able to work under general supervision. She stated she only works alone one shift per week. Board members expressed concern that she may be overwhelmed. Mr. DeRose stated he feels she does better under direct supervision. Ms. Cates requested she remain on general supervision for the next quarter. Mr. DeRose questioned whether or not remaining on general supervision will cost her the job? Ms. Cates stated she would be suspended before terminated. Dr. Lundberg questioned whether or not the supervisor has confidence in her? Ms. Cates stated she does as long as she keeps her focus. Ms. Cates stated she is not relapsing and has not relapsed. Board members indicated they will leave her at general supervision for the next quarter, but the supervisor needs to submit monthly reports and provide feedback to the Board. The employer reports need to be submitted February 1 and March 1, 2011. If there are any concerns, she will need to meet with the Board. **Ms. Cates is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order; however, she missed calling CVI three times and is considered out of compliance with the policy that she must call CVI everyday.** She will be seen April 26, 2011.

Dennis Beasley,
Interview:

Mr. Beasley reported that since last month, he entered an intensive outpatient program at Turning Leaf. He stated he will begin level one of the addiction treatment program this week-end. He stated he will be attending 12-step meetings and will see the therapist on a weekly basis. He indicated he still needs to set up an appointment for the physical examination. He stated he has completed some continuing education, but is looking for additional continuing education. He did not submit the PIR or 12-step attendance cards for December. He also needs to submit the employer report indicating he is not currently working. He has submitted the psychological and chemical evaluations, and has set up a payment schedule for his fine. Board members questioned how he is doing? He stated he is balancing his life and doing a lot better. He stated he delayed getting into treatment because of the holidays, but is now committed to his recovery. **Mr. Beasley is out of compliance with the terms and conditions for not submitting his paperwork.** He will be seen again April 26, 2011.

Brent McFadden,
Caroline McFadden:

Mr. McFadden reported things are going well. His wife, Caroline, met with the Board to discuss their pharmacy application and Mr. McFadden's supervision. Mr. McFadden is listed on the pharmacy application as an owner of the pharmacy. Mr. McFadden would work at the pharmacy in the mornings and Mrs. McFadden, who currently works at the VA, would work at the pharmacy in the afternoon. Mrs. McFadden stated for now, they do not plan on hiring another dispensing pharmacist. Dr. Lundberg questioned why Mrs. McFadden feels she can be an objective supervisor and provide the oversight necessary. Mrs. McFadden reported she worked with her husband at another pharmacy for a period of time and there were no problems. She stated they keep their professional life and home life separate. Ms. Taxin questioned if any medications came up missing, would she report him? Mrs. McFadden stated, yes she would. Mr. McFadden stated he has resolved the criminal charges and the felonies have been reduced to seven misdemeanor charges. He reported he is scheduled for the psychological and substance abuse evaluations tomorrow. Board members questioned

whether or not Mrs. McFadden was aware of what happened that resulted in the charges. Mrs. McFadden stated she was never in that pharmacy and was completely unaware of the issues. Dr. Lundberg questioned, now that she is aware, and if she is the supervisor, how will she handle the situation. Mrs. McFadden stated she will watch his behavior and stated she does not feel there was a substance abuse issue. Mrs. McFadden stated monthly inventory reports will be submitted that matches inventory in the computer and the pharmacy technician would be present in the pharmacy. Mr. McFadden stated he will do whatever the Board recommends, and if that recommendation is to have an outside supervisor, he would consider the request even though it is not in his Order. The Board still has concerns whether or not a spouse can provide an objective review. A report from the pharmacy technician could also be requested, however, the pharmacy technician may not provide an accurate report if he/she was concerned that they could be fired. Dr. Lundberg stated that if the substance abuse evaluator determines there is a substance abuse problem, a whole different perspective would be added. It is not the wife's role to police him and it would place her in a very difficult position. Ms. Taxin stated that most stipulations require the supervisor to be independent of the person on probation. Dr. Lundberg stated she would like to see the evaluations before making a decision and then have Mrs. McFadden come with a very specific plan of supervision and what she is going to do to ensure the problems do not happen again, provide a procedure for checking the count and what happens if something does happen.

Ms. Taxin stated they have a pharmacy application pending. She questioned whether or not Mr. McFadden can be approved as an owner with a restriction? Board members indicated the Division can proceed with the inspection, but it would be premature to issue the license with outstanding issues.

Board members would like to meet with the McFadden's next month to review the plan and to review the evaluation before making a determination regarding the supervisor and approving the

application. **Mr. McFadden is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order.** He will be seen again next February 22, 2011.

James Bee,
Interview:

Mr. Bee reported he is having a difficult time financially. He stated the reasons why he is on probation happened three years ago and feels he has been extremely punished. He indicated he would like to have his Order amended to make it easier to find employment. He indicated he has applied for 22 jobs in the last 2 months. He stated if the Board's purpose is rehabilitation, he considers himself rehabilitated. If the Board's role is to punish, he has been punished for the last three years. Mr. Bee stated he accepts responsibility; however, he doesn't feel probation will accomplish anything. Mr. Bee requested clarification regarding the definition of general supervision. Ms. Taxin stated general supervision means that the supervisor does not have to be directly on site. His Order does state that he can not be alone in the pharmacy and a pharmacy technician or pharmacist would have to be in the pharmacy while Mr. Bee is in the pharmacy. Ms. Taxin stated the Division can write a letter for him regarding the definition of general supervision. Ms. Taxin questioned what changes/modifications Mr. Bee would like to request. Mr. Bee stated he would like to have the probation terminated. He stated he cannot take the MPJE until he has paid his fine, and can not pay the fine because he is not currently working. He stated he has only worked relief for other pharmacists the last three years. He stated he has been told he can not get a job until he is off probation. He stated he feels like he has been on probation for the last three years because of negotiations. He stated he does not have a drug problem; however, he is treated like a drug addict. Dr. Lundberg stated that he has been on probation less than a year and has not been in compliance. The Board would not recommend early termination if the probationer has not been in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and Order. Ms. Taxin stated other probationers are in the same circumstance and have been able to find employment. Mr. DeRose stated Mr. Bee could submit a letter requesting termination of probation and include in the letter what he has learned in the process and what plan

he has put into place to assure the Board the issues that brought him before the Board will not happen again, and include how he has changed. He would then meet with the Board in February. **Mr. Bee is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.** He will be seen again February 2011.

Discussion regarding E-Kit,
Jared Memmott, Division Investigator
Karl Perry, Assistant Attorney General
Annette Wingert, DEA:

Mr. Memmott questioned the Board regarding closed door pharmacies and emergency kits. He stated that a licensed pharmacy supplies long term care facilities E-kits that include controlled substances. A limited inventory is left at the facility to be used in a true emergency. However, these facilities are using the E-kit if they can not get the prescriptions for a transferred patient for several hours and then obtaining approval after use. Ms. Wingert stated from the DEA standpoint, the policy is that these facilities can do this as long as the state has approved the procedures, safeguards and emergency medical conditions to be used under. The pharmacy still has to receive the prescription and give the authorization. Utah does not have any statute or rules that allow E-kits in long term care facilities to be used this way. Mr. Garn questioned how the ambulance obtains their medications. Ms. Wingert stated they have an overseeing practitioner who is responsible for the medication. Utah law states that before a medication can be given, a physician has to give authorization in writing to those who have the oversight for the kits. The nurse can not go to the kit and take out the medications. However, they are doing this on intake, going to the E-kit and pulling the medications. Mr. Memmott stated the rule needs to address an emergency kit and the criteria to administer. If the facility is going to have stocked drugs in their possession, each has to be licensed or exempted. Mr. Young questioned if this would be for long term care only. Ms. Wingert stated that long term care is the largest population that uses E-kits and having an emergency kit doesn't give authority for anyone to go to that kit and dispense and follow up later with a prescription. Mr. Perry stated it would be best addressed in statute. Ms. Wingert stated the federal law currently reads that the E-kit needs to be ordered by a practitioner, but the rule could be changed to allow the practitioner to appoint a licensed designee at

the long term care facility who would have a contract with the practitioner to prevent the time lapse for the patient who needs immediate care. Ms. Taxin stated that Ms. Poe will be informed of the discussion and she can add this to the rule.

Amara Home Medical,
Application:

Amara Home Medical submitted an application as a DME provider that would be located in an attached garage of the owner. This issue was discussed at the last Board meeting and Ms. Poe had discussed adding standards into rule. Ms. Taxin indicated the issuance of a conditional license pending approval of a rule had been discussed. Amara Home Medical meets the DME requirements and the only question is that the company is located in a garage attached to a home. Ms. Taxin questioned whether or not it would be appropriate to issue the license and then develop rules. If those rules are in place when the license comes up for renewal in September, he would need to meet the requirements established and if the rules change at that time, he will have to abide by the rule. A Motion was made to approve the application with the understanding that there is no grandfathering and Amara Home Medical will have to abide by the rule that will be developed. The Motion was seconded. All Board members were in favor of the Motion.

Priority Pharmaceutical,
Application:

Ms. Taxin explained that Priority Pharmaceutical is licensed in another state as a wholesaler and ships their product into Utah where it is held temporary, then shipped to California. The issue is that Priority Pharmaceuticals recruits physicians and they become licensed as a distributor. The company focuses on hard to find medications. They locate the medications for acute care facilities nationwide, manage the pedigree, recruit and assist the physician. This will be for FDA approved products only and the company does not purchase medications from overseas. Mr. Jones stated that some hard to find medications are rationed and it sounds like this company will have the physician order the medications, sell it back to the pharmaceutical company, to be sold by the pharmaceutical company. It would be stockpiling hard to find medications by having the physician order the maximum amount they can order. Mr. Memmott stated he has not heard of and questioned this model.

Ms. Taxin stated that the company has legal representation, Bill Stillings, who could not be here to discuss the application and would like to place this on next month's agenda. Mr. Stillings and his client will be invited to be present, and a telephone interview can be conducted with the individual who filled out the application.

Waseland Scott Williams
Requesting early termination of probation for Williams Family Video:

Mr. Williams requested early termination of probation. Board members stated the request should be tabled until he comes in to meet with the Board next month. Board members also requested an inspection be completed to see if he has addressed the issues that placed him on probation.

Paul Martz,
Request from last month for general supervision instead of direct supervision:

Board members made a Motion to deny the request for general supervision instead of direct supervision. Board members indicated he needs more time on probation to demonstrate abstinence and to allow the Board to monitor his practice under direct supervision for a period of time. The Motion was seconded. All Board members were in favor.

Informational:

Mr. Young reported he has been appointed to the committee for item writing for the examinations. Ms. Taxin informed the Board that the Department of Commerce policy is that a Board member can not travel, even if a third party is paying the expenses. Mr. Young indicated he will not be traveling as a Board member.

E-Mail discussion regarding DESI drug:

Ms. Taxin reported the Division received an e-mail regarding DESI drug and the question was whether or not this category of drug falls under the Pharmacy Practice Act. Board members indicated because it is a legend drug, it falls under the Pharmacy Practice Act.

Missy Duke, clarification to the October minutes:

Ms. Duke indicated their request was for pharmacists to be able to write and execute medication orders without physician co-signature if the collaborative practice agreement has been signed by the P&T committee, MEC, and Department of Pharmacy and the referring physician writes an order in the chart for the patient to be managed by pharmacy. This would be for IHC only.

Board members approved the following clarifications:

- Ms. Duke explained that, for the purposes of this discussion, Intermountain Healthcare would like to distinguish institutional protocol from collaborative pharmacy practice.
- When placing an order for a pharmacist to manage care per a collaborative practice agreement, the pharmacist will have the ability to place orders without a co-signature from the referring physician.
- The physician would not be required to co-sign orders written by a pharmacist under a collaborative practice agreement.
- The pharmacist education, competency assessment, system-wide approval of CPA are all components of collaborative practice that Intermountain Healthcare has chosen to incorporate.

Discussion:

Dr. Kemper indicated she received a call from IHC Hospital Pharmacy that has two buildings a mile apart. The Pharmacy director would like to know if it is possible to remotely check a technician after pharmacy hours at the second campus. The main campus is staffed by at least one pharmacist 24 hours a day. The second campus is staffed for 13 hours. He indicated in an emergency situation, the medication can not be couriered over and questioned whether or not the technician could prepare the medication on site and have the pharmacist remotely check the medication. Board members would like the request to be submitted in writing for review. If it was part of a Pyxis machine, it would be fine, but Board members would like to see in writing what he is requesting.

Adjourned:

2:00 p.m.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

February 22, 2011
Date Approved

(ss) Dominic DeRose
Dominic DeRose, Chairperson, Utah Pharmacy
Licensing Board

February 22, 2011
Date Approved

(ss) Noel Taxin
Noel Taxin, Bureau Manager, Division of
Occupational & Professional Licensing