

MINUTES

**UTAH
PHARMACY BOARD
MEETING**

January 22, 2013

**Room 474 (fourth floor)– 8:30 a.m.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

CONVENED: 8:34 a.m.

ADJOURNED: 5:05 p.m.

**Bureau Manager:
Board Secretary:**

Debra Hobbins, DNP, APRN,
Shirlene Kimball

Conducting:

David Young, Pharm D, Chair

Board Members Present:

Kelly Lundberg, PhD, public member
Jan Bird, CPhT, pharmacy technician
Derek Garn, R.Ph
David Young, Pharm D
Andrea Kemper, Pharm D
Greg Jones, R.Ph
Carl “Trip” Hoffman, Pharm D

DOPL Staff Present:

Ray Walker, Division Enforcement Counsel
Connie Call, Compliance Specialist
Jared Memmott, Investigator
Jake Corsi, Investigator
Brittany Butsch, Investigator
Boyce Barnes, Licensing specialist
Kaylene Hyatt, Licensing specialist
Hailee Robertson, Licensing specialist

Guests:

Bruce “Phil” Dropesky, R.Ph.
Anh Lam, Roseman University
Daniel Seiser, Roseman/AFS Student Intern
Greg Jensen, Target Pharmacy
Aaron Stapley, Roseman University
Kristen Houskeeper, University of Utah
Sonia Trinh, University of Utah
Matt Brown, Roseman/AFS
Jon Magness, University of Utah
Jaime Peterson, Walgreens
Cole Rolfe, Roseman University

Matt Ence, University of Utah USPA
Trent Adams, University of Utah
Dean Moncur, Omnicare
Dallas Moore, IHC
Kavish Choudhary, University of Utah
Dean Jolley, Jolley's compounding
Dave Cheney, Associated Foods
Jason Barrows, University of Utah
Linda Sandberg, Omnicare
Betty Yamashita, IHC
Carolyn Kowalchik, University of Utah Hospital
Dave Davis, UFIA/URMA
Reid Barker, Utah Pharmacists Association
Lisa Dimick, PCM
D. Lonnie Anderson, Uintah Basin Medical Center
Missy Duke, USHP
Tad Jolley, Jolley's Pharmacy
Jeannie Brennan, R.Ph, J.D.
Angie Kilpatrick

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

November 13, 2012 Minutes:

Oath of Office and welcome new Board member, Carl Trip Hoffman:

Lonnie Anderson, Question regarding emergency dispensing from a hospital:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Dr. Lundberg made a motion to approve the minutes with corrections. Dr. Kemper seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion.

Dr. Hobbins administered the Oath of Office to Carl Hoffman. Dr. Hoffman indicated he is currently working at University Drug Pharmacy and his responsibilities include IPM services, compounding, overseeing the pharmacy technician-in-training program and precepting interns. Board members welcomed Dr. Hoffman to the Board.

Mr. Anderson indicated he is a practicing pharmacist in Uintah Basin. His question was in regards to dispensing medications to patients discharged from the hospital on weekends when all pharmacies in the area are closed. Mr. Anderson indicated he has read the Hospital Guidelines posted on the Division's web site, but it does not include dispensing to patients discharged from the hospital outside of the emergency department. Mr. Anderson questioned whether an exception could be granted that would allow a physician to dispense an emergency supply of medication that has been properly labeled from the

dispensing machine to patients being discharged from the hospital. Dr. Young stated the current document does not state specifically that physicians can access the dispensing machine to give emergency medications. However, he indicated it would be a reasonable option for the patient discharged on the weekend because the medications are labeled, stocked and checked by a pharmacist. There is the ability to track each medication dispensed and to control who has access. Board members also suggested Mr. Anderson encourage the physician to write a prescription for the medication ahead of time and have the medication picked up prior to discharge. Mr. Walker indicated the Statute does not authorize a prescriber to dispense these medications on an emergency basis. He indicated there would have to be an exemption from the practice of pharmacy. Mr. Walker stated a mechanism needs to be established where the medication is dispensed by the pharmacy instead of the physician. Mr. Walker also indicated the hospital guidelines should be placed in Rule. Mr. Jones made a motion to amend the guidelines to allow dispensing drugs from an emergency room and upon discharge from a rural hospital. Ms. Bird seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion.

Minnesota Board of Pharmacy
Compounding Memo:

Dr. Hobbins questioned whether the Board would like to issue a statement similar to the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy Memo. The Minnesota notice was a reminder of their current rules and regulations for compounding.

Dr. Young reported that the NABP represented the Boards of Pharmacy at the U.S. Senate Committee Hearing regarding compounding pharmacies. Dr. Young stated that each state board has their own laws and rules governing compounding and there is not a nationwide definition. Utah requires compounding pharmacies follow the USP 797 and 795 and there are some states have sent out a notice to stop compounding all together. Dr. Young also reported that Iowa is requiring out-of-state inspections and has entered into a contract with NABP to conduct those inspections. NABP has already issued some significant citations for not following state and federal

Massachusetts Board of Registration in
Pharmacy:

rule in several states.

Board members reviewed the article that indicates the Massachusetts Board of Registration in Pharmacy is considering eight potential solutions for improving the state's regulation of compounding pharmacies. Dr. Hobbins indicated it appears the Massachusetts Board's intention is not to take compounding away from pharmacies, but to find solutions to make sure the compounding pharmacies are safe. Dr. Hoffman stated a number of states are developing a taskforce to review compounding issues. Dr. Hoffman suggested the Board work with the compounding pharmacies to provide the additional education necessary to practice within the law. Dr. Hoffman also stated the pharmacy investigator should also obtain additional training. Mr. Walker stated a taskforce could be established that would meet outside of the Board meeting and discuss compounding issues. Mr. Walker also indicated an advisory committee could be established by rule if necessary. Dr. Lundberg stated the Board could begin with a taskforce and put the issues on the table. The taskforce could bring the information back to the Board for discussion. Dr. Young suggested Dr. Hoffman be the Board contact for the taskforce and requested Dr. Hoffman provide the Division with a list of individuals who will be on the taskforce. The taskforce shall consist of representatives from independent compounding pharmacies, institutional pharmacies, the Utah Hospital Association, UPHA and any other interested party.

A guest requested the Division investigators give the compounding pharmacies a length of time to come into compliance or a letter of warning instead of issuing fines. He also suggested further education would be helpful. Mr. Jones stated that the USP 797 and 795 requirement for compounding pharmacies has been in Rules for a long time and those pharmacists should be familiar with the law. Dr. Hoffman indicated there are different interpretations of USP 795 and he questioned where the investigators receive their training on USP 795. Mr. Jones stated that setting a standard for the investigator but not the practitioner does not make sense. The training should be for the practitioner.

Mark Munger,
Presentation:

Mr. Munger will give the presentation next month.

Dave Abrams,
Discussion regarding Class A Pharmacies:

Mr. Abrams met with the Board to question whether a Class A pharmacy could sell medications to a distributor if it is an attempt to alleviate a temporary drug shortage. Mr. Abrams stated that in the Pharmacy Practice Act section R156-17b-102(47)(b)(iii) it states that the sale, purchase, or trade of a prescription drug or device, or offer to sell, purchase, or trade a prescription drug or device for emergency medical reasons, or to supply another pharmaceutical facility to alleviate a temporary shortage is not considered distribution. Mr. Walker indicated the definition of a Class A pharmacy is to dispense to the public under a prescription order. He stated that the definition of dispense in R156-17b-102(22), the last part of the section reads: in a suitable container appropriately labeled for subsequent administration to or use by a patient. Mr. Walker stated that when a Class A Pharmacy dispenses, it meets this definition. Mr. Jones stated there is a difference between an emergency and alleviating a shortage versus a pharmacy selling to a distributor and marking up the cost. Mr. Jones stated he is not comfortable with the Class A Pharmacy distributing. Board members indicated there would be concern with grey market issues and price increases. Ms. Duke stated the Class A pharmacy should not be allowed to distribute for the sole reason to sell back to make a profit.

Dr. Lundberg stated she is hearing concerns about the practice and since Mr. Abrams is on probation, he needs to be careful to remain in compliance with all laws. Dr. Lundberg questioned why Mr. Abrams has submitted this request. Mr. Abrams stated he is looking for a job and the pharmacy he is looking at does this practice. Mr. Abrams stated he would not work at the pharmacy if the practice is not clearly defined. Mr. Jones stated it is good that he has been proactive in asking before he accepted a position that may get him into trouble. Dr. Young stated there is not a definition of drug shortage and this may be something that needs to be addressed in statute. Mr.

Jones stated he is not sure defining drug shortage will address the issue. He stated if a pharmacy buys an item and marks the price up, what they are doing may not be illegal, but he feels it is unethical. Mr. Jones stated if the pharmacy is licensed there is nothing to prevent the action. Dr. Young stated he will do some research prior to the next meeting to see what other states are doing. Board members indicated this issue would be discussed further regarding what constitutes a drug shortage, and how it will be defined. If the drug is on the FDA drug shortage list and USHP drug shortage list, it may still be a grey area. Mr. Abrams is in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order. Mr. Abrams is requesting early termination of probation. Mr. Jones made a motion to terminate probation after a review by the Division and Investigations and the fine has been paid in full. Mr. Garn seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion.

Meeting closed at 10:33 a.m. in accordance with 52-4-205(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual:

Dr. Young made a motion to close the meeting. Dr. Lundberg seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. The meeting was closed at 10:33 a.m.

Meeting opened at 10:50 a.m.

Dr. Young made a motion to open the meeting. Dr. Lundberg seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. The meeting was opened at 10:50 a.m.

Kirtland Wray,
Probation interview:

Mr. Wray stated work is going well. He stated he has resolved the issue regarding informing his employer of the probation. Ms. Call provided Mr. Wray with a form to have the director of the school sign indicating the school is aware of the probation. Mr. Wray has not completed the essay and has not completed the physical examination. He has not submitted his self-assessment report and his employer report was late. Board members questioned why Mr. Wray has not submitted the required paperwork. Mr. Wray stated it is not a priority with him. Board members reminded Mr. Wray that he needs to make it a priority and he needs to take the probation seriously or he will lose his license. The evaluation from Dr. Dusoe recommended he continue with urine screens and attend PIR and 12-step meetings at least once a week.

Dr. Kemper made a motion to allow Mr. Wray to attend either PIR or 12-step meetings, but he must attend once a week. Ms. Bird seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. Mr. Wray stated he does not want to attend PIR or 12-step meetings because they drag him back into the past. Dr. Lundberg stated the Board needs to monitor him on probation and based on the recommendation from the evaluator, he does need to attend 12-step meetings. Dr. Lundberg indicated continued delays places him further out of compliance. Mr. Wray stated he feels his situation is unique. He has been in recovery since 2005 and does not feel it is necessary to attend the 12-step meetings. Board members indicated that a professional made the recommendation and the Board is accepting that recommendation. Dr. Kemper stated he needs to decide what he wants to do, either come into compliance or surrender the license. If he decides to come into compliance, he must have everything in by February 1, 2013.

Mr. Wray requested he not be required to submit urine screens. Board members indicated he would have to continue the urine screens based on the recommendation from the evaluator and it is a requirement of all individuals with a substance use disorder. Mr. Wray stated he is frustrated because the Board does not look at the individual. He indicated he has been in recovery for eight years and he feels checking the controlled substance database should be enough. Board members indicated the database is checked, however, if an individual obtains medications illegally, it would not appear in the database.

Request number two: Mr. Wray stated he does not want the license to read limited and restricted. Dr. Hobbins indicated the restriction would stay on the license until the restrictions are lifted.

Request number three: Mr. Wray requested the probation be reduced to one year. Dr. Kemper stated he has never been in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order. The Board would not consider reducing the probationary period if he is out of compliance. Mr. Garn stated the role of the Board

is to monitor the probationer and the way to monitor is by the required paperwork. Board members also go by the recommendations of the professional evaluators and therapist. Dr. Kemper made a motion to deny all requests. Mr. Jones seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. Mr. Wray will be seen in April or May. **Mr. Wray is out of compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.**

Bruce Philip Dropesky,
Re-licensure request:

Mr. Dropesky submitted a re-licensure application. He indicated his Utah license has been suspended for five years. He stated he took drugs from the pharmacy and passed them on to a female associate who had buyers for the drugs. He indicated he was arrested for distribution of drugs on July 9, 2007. He was sentenced, convicted, and began jail time December 2007. Mr. Dropesky stated he has made restitution and completed the court requirements March 2010. He stated he also diverted a small amount of Ambien for his own use. He indicated the court did not require him to go through a substance abuse treatment program. He stated the last time he used the Ambien was before he went to jail.

Mr. Dropesky will need to demonstrate that he meets the good moral character requirement. Mr. Walker stated there is a section in law that establishes what the Board can consider to demonstrate good moral character. Mr. Walker indicated the burden is on Mr. Dropesky to demonstrate good moral character, but the Board can give direction such as requiring a psychological evaluation to determine if there is a risk to commit a crime again and if there is a substance abuse addiction. Board members recommend the application be placed on hold until the following information is received;

- Psychological evaluation - will accept the one from the court if it is recent, or from an licensed psychologist or social work provider in New Mexico
- Substance use disorder evaluation
- Fitness to practice letter
- An essay of why he thinks this situation happened and why the behavior will not continue
- Urine screens
- A summary of how he did on court-ordered

probation. A letter from the AP&P officer indicating that he was released early and how he did on probation

-Job performance information

-Documentation of 15 additional continuing education credits

-Retake the MPJE examination.

-Board members discussed the NABP PARE examination. This examination is the pharmacist assessment and remediation examination. He could take either the NAPLEX or the PARE examination.

The application will be re-considered once all information is received.

Tech-Check-Tech discussion:

Dr. Duke provided an update regarding the Tech-check-tech program. She reported Senator Vickers is introducing legislation regarding changes in the definition of the pharmacy technician, which would allow for the Tech-check-tech program. The Tech-check-tech program would be only for hospital institutions until the effectiveness of the program can be determined.

Board members discussed approval of pharmacy technician programs. Dr. Young stated there is a national training program; however, it is very expensive. Other states do not require the education and training as long as the individual passes the national examination. Dr. Young reported Idaho just ended program approval and indicated in rule that the program needs to meet the standards listed.

Adjourned to lunch at 12:20 p.m.

Reconvened at 12:50 p.m.

Meeting closed at 1:00 p.m. in accordance with 52-4-205(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual:

Meeting opened at 1:15 p.m.

A motion was made to close the meeting in accordance with 52-4-205(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual. The motion was seconded. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. The meeting was closed at 1:00 p.m.

Dr. Lundberg made a motion to open the meeting. Ms. Bird seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. The meeting was opened

at 1:15 p.m.

Board members reviewed the evaluation submitted for Michael Wright. Mr. Wright will be required to submit urine screens. Board members requested court hearing documents and any pre-sentencing or sentencing evaluations be forwarded to the Board. Any court probation requirements will apply to his Division Stipulation and Order.

Monica King,
Request to sit for the NAPLEX Examination
for a third time:

Ms. King met with the Board to request approval to sit for the NAPLEX examination for the third time. Ms. King stated her issue is testing anxiety and she freezes when taking an exam. Ms. King stated she feels she has a good knowledge base and her work experiences have been excellent. She reported she has been taking practice exams and doing well on those. Dr. Lundberg made a motion to grant her request and give her until March 31, 2013 to take the examination. Mr. Jones seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion.

Dr. Dru Allen,
Utah Veterinary Medical Association:

Dr. Allen was unable to meet with the Board and will be scheduled next month.

Connie Call,
Compliance report:

Ms. Call reported the following individuals are out of compliance with the terms and conditions of their Orders:
-Sheryl Ledet was late submitting her employer report and audit report. She also had dilute urine screens.
-Colton Dale was late submitting his paperwork and will be requested to meet with the Board next month.

Ms. Call reported Diann Millikan has requested her Order be amended to allow her to work under general supervision so that she can work alone and be allowed to open or close the pharmacy. Dr. Lundberg made a motion to approve the request and allow Ms. Millikan to work under general supervision. Dr. Kemper seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion.

Rick Willie – Kohler Pharmacy,
Probation interview:

Mr. Willie reported the owners of Kohler Pharmacy sold the store to Ridley's on December 31, 2012. He reported Ridley's is working on the licensure process for the pharmacy. Mr. Willie stated he is not sure

whether he will remain the PIC once the license is issued. Mr. Willie indicated they have addressed all the issues set forth in the Order and the pharmacy is now in compliance. **Kohler Pharmacy is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order.** Mr. Willie will be seen May 28, 2013 unless Ridley's Pharmacy is approved and Kohler's Pharmacy surrenders their license.

Andrea Lowry,
Probation interview:

Ms. Lowry reported she is doing well and went back to work a year ago. She stated she is enjoying working in a retail pharmacy and is working two days a week. Ms. Lowry stated she has been attending 12-step meetings for seven years and no longer feels they are beneficial. Ms. Lowry stated she would continue to get drug tested. She stated she has no desire to use and she feels she is doing well in her recovery. Dr. Lundberg made a motion for Ms. Lowry to submit monthly self-assessment reports in lieu of attending 12-step meetings. Mr. Garn seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. **Ms. Lowry is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation.** She will be seen July 2013.

Jerry Gillick
College Pharmacy,
Telephone interview:

Mr. Gillick reported things are going well. He has submitted all reports and he indicated the pharmacy has initiated new training for staff. He stated they are complying with the Stipulation and Order and do not carry growth hormones. He reported the Colorado Board inspected the pharmacy last year and will conduct another inspection in February or March 2013. Board members indicated he must continue to submit reports quarterly, but will not need to see him again for one year. **College Pharmacy is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Order.**

Email Review:

Dr. Young stated the email regarding repackaging during drug shortages can only be done within the same health care system. The information is found in the July 9, 2012 Drug and Cosmetic Act, page 117.

The email questioning whether a pharmacy technician can obtain extern hours in a pharmaceutical lab was reviewed. Dr. Young stated the rule only requires

hours obtained in an approved pharmacy. The technician could obtain the hours in the lab, but if they wanted to work in a different area, they would have to demonstrate competency in that area.

2013 Board meetings:

Board members indicated the April meeting will be held on the 23rd. Board members would like to keep the May 28, 2013 meeting as scheduled.

Sheryl Ledet,
Probation interview:

Ms. Ledet stated she is doing well. Board members extended condolences for the loss of her mother and her husband. Ms. Ledet stated having seven years of sobriety has helped her get through the last few months. Ms. Ledet stated she will not be making any major decisions for a period of time. She reported she returned to work on January 2, 2013 and is trying to keep her routine as normal as possible. Ms. Ledet stated she has not had any concerns about use of narcotics for several years now. Ms. Ledet has a couple of dilute urines and submitted her reports late. Dr. Lundberg stated there is a concern there is a pattern. Ms. Ledet stated she was late submitting the employer report because she did not return to work until January 2, 2013 and did not think to have the employer submit the report or the audit earlier. She also indicated she had been drinking a lot of water and feels that is why the urine screens were dilute. She will be seen in April or May. **Ms. Ledet is out of compliance for late paperwork.**

Cynthia Asher,
Probation interview:

Board members reviewed Ms. Asher's evaluation. Mr. Jones made a motion to lift the suspension and place the license on probation for 3 years with the following terms and conditions: Urine screens, thinking errors course, employer reports, self-assessment reports and other standard terms and conditions with the exception of no 12-step or PIR meeting attendance. Ms. Bird seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. **Ms. Asher is in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Order.**

Break at 3:20 p.m.
Reconvened at 3:35 p.m.

Kyle Rootsart,

Mr. Rootsart was contacted by telephone. Mr.

Probation telephone interview:

Rootsaert stated he is commuting to California to work. The Division received the practice plans and he appears to be in compliance with the Order. Mr. Rootsaert stated he tried to find a volunteer position in Utah, but could not find any opportunities. He stated he became employed in late November or early December in California. Dr. Hobbins stated that there are other issues and the Division will be filing an agency action and will be sending the notification to him. Mr. Rootsaert stated he understands. He will be seen in May for his scheduled interview depending on agency action. **Mr. Rootsaert is in compliance with the terms and conditions of his Order.**

Layne Kilpatrick,
Probation interview:

Mr. Kilpatrick is requesting that his probation be terminated and his fine be reduced. A review of the file indicates his employer reports are good and he is in compliance with the terms and probation. Mr. Kilpatrick submitted a letter which was reviewed by the Board. He indicated his pharmacy is working toward PACB accreditation from the Pharmacy Accreditation Compounding Board. Mr. Kilpatrick stated there are numerous standards that have to be met and these standards exceed USP 797 and 795 standards. He indicated the accreditation also looks at discipline. The discipline will not prevent him from receiving the accreditation, but they will review the action. The accreditation is for a period of three years. Mr. Kilpatrick also indicated he would like the State to educate the compounding pharmacies, work with them so they understand the current requirements as stated in Statute and Rule. He stated he is in compliance with his Order and has always been in compliance. He stated the only time he was out of compliance was January 2011 when he was late submitting an employer report. Dr. Lundberg stated several months ago the Board initiated a new process that when a probationer requests early termination of probation the Board defers to the Division to check for any additional problems or pending discipline. The information then comes back to the Board the following month. Dr. Lundberg stated she is not comfortable with reducing the fine. She indicated the Board made a recommendation to reduce a fine previously and the Division said no. Ms. Bird made a motion to consider the letter requesting termination of

probation pending the report from Investigations and the fine must be paid in full. Dr. Lundberg seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. Board members indicated that if the report from Investigations is positive, the fine is paid, and there is nothing pending, the Division could follow through and terminate his probation. Dr. Lundberg informed Mr. Kilpatrick that he may hear from the Division within two weeks or it may be next month. Mr. Kilpatrick indicated he feels that Investigations need to be improved, that it is adversarial with compounding pharmacies. He stated he would like to see that changed and the government process needs to be improved.

Jessica Keady,
New Order:

Ms. Keady explained the circumstances that brought her before the Board. She stated she was prescribed medication for a medical issue in the spring and the physician doubled the dose in September. She indicated that was the timeframe when she began diverting medications from her employer. She stated she lost her job and the physician took her off that particular medication. She stated she feels better off the medication. Ms. Keady stated she only diverted the medications for about a two-week period. She stated the number of diverted medications listed in her Order was rounded up and she does not think she took that many medications. She stated the pressure of the job got to her, she was concerned about the violent attacks that had happened inside the store, she was afraid going to work at times, was thinking irrationally and stated she did not abuse medications prior to this period. Dr. Lundberg questioned whether she used or uses any illegal drugs. Ms. Keady stated only marijuana when she was younger. Dr. Lundberg questioned what the stress had to do with diversion of medications. Ms. Keady stated the stress put her over the edge. Board members questioned whether she was using at work. She stated no, but she did take her anxiety medication prior to going to work. Mr. Garn stated Ms. Keady needs to be very up front with the Board if she wants the Board to be able to help her. Board members want to see her succeed, but she needs to answer the questions honestly. Dr. Hobbins indicated the Order states that Ms. Keady told the

investigators she began diverting because she owed people money. She stated she made that up because she didn't know what to say to the investigators. She stated the truth was she diverted for herself, but she felt intimidated and was frightened. Dr. Lundberg questioned how the Board will know if she is telling the truth. Ms. Keady stated she is sincere and wants to keep her license. She indicated she sees a number of different practitioners. Board members indicated she needs to determine which primary prescribing practitioner she will use to prescribe controlled substances and have only one primary pharmacy. Board members indicated she needs to be honest with the evaluator. Ms. Keady stated she understands the terms and conditions of the Order. Mr. Jones made a motion to amend the Order to allow for two prescribers as long as only one prescriber prescribes controlled substances. Mr. Garn seconded the motion. All Board members voted in favor of the motion. Board members requested she be scheduled to meet with the Board next month.

Rules:

Dr. Hobbins suggested Board members take the proposed Rules home for review. If Board members have any comments, these will be discussed at the next meeting. Comments could also be sent to Dr. Hobbins.

Dr. Hobbins also provided a copy of the Controlled Substance Database Rules that have been filed and will go into effect March 11, 2013.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

February 26, 2013
Date Approved

(ss) David Young
David Young, chair,
Pharmacy Licensing Board

February 26, 2013
Date Approved

(ss) Debra Hobbins
Debra Hobbins, Bureau Manager,
Division of Occupational & Professional Licensing