

DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
Heber M. Wells Building
160 East 300 South
P O Box 146741
Salt Lake City UT 84114-6741
Telephone: (801) 530-6628

**BEFORE THE DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OF THE STATE OF UTAH**

IN THE MATTER OF THE LICENSE OF : **FINDINGS OF FACT,**
JAZABRA CONSTRUCTION LC : **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,**
 : **AND ORDER**
TO PRACTICE AS A CONTRACTOR :
IN THE STATE OF UTAH :
 : **Case No. DOPL-2013-280**

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing ("the Division") filed a Notice of Agency Action in this matter on the 9th day of July, 2013.
2. This action is based upon Division records as reflected in the Notice of Agency Action filed herein which show that Jazabra Construction LC, (the "Respondent"), failed to demonstrate and maintain financial responsibility as required by Utah Code Annotated Section 58-55-306 and Subsection 58-55-502(1), and Utah Administrative Code Section R156-55a-306.
3. Respondent has failed to respond to the Notice of Agency Action and, according to Division records, has failed to demonstrate and maintain financial responsibility as outlined above which constitutes a basis to sanction Respondent's license pursuant to Utah Code Annotated Subsections 58-1-401(1) and (2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

4. Respondent's failure to respond to the Notice of Agency Action constitutes a sufficient basis for entry of default against Respondent.
5. The Notice of Agency Action and documents attached thereto provide a basis to conclude that Respondent has failed to demonstrate and maintain financial responsibility as required by Utah Code Annotated Section 58-55-306 and Subsection 58-55-502(1), and Utah Administrative Code Section R156-55a-306, Respondent has

engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined by Utah Code Annotated Subsection 58-1-501(2)(a), and Respondent no longer meets the qualification for licensure as provided by Utah Code Annotated Section 58-55-306.

6. The Division is authorized to revoke Respondent's contractor license as provided by Utah Code Annotated Subsection 58-1-401(1) because Respondent no longer meets the qualifications for licensure as required by Utah Code Annotated Subsection 58-55-102(19).

7. The Division is authorized to revoke Respondent's contractor license as provided by Utah Code Annotated Subsection 58-1-401(2)(a), for engaging in unprofessional conduct, and as provided by Utah Code Annotated Subsection 58-1-501(2)(a), for failure to demonstrate and maintain financial responsibility as required by Utah Code Annotated Section 58-55-306.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED Respondent's default is hereby entered.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED Respondent's license to practice as a contractor in this state shall be revoked, effective on the date this order is signed.

On behalf of the Construction Services Commission and the Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing, I hereby certify that the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order were submitted to the Construction Services Commission and the Division on the 25th day of September 2013 for their review and action.



Dan S. Jones, Bureau Manager

ORDER

THE ABOVE FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER in the matter of **JAZABRA CONSTRUCTION LC**, are hereby adopted by the Construction Services Commission of the State of Utah.

DATED this 25TH day of September, 2013.


CONSTRUCTION SERVICES COMMISSION
Representative *Kenn C. Bess* Acting Chair

I concur with the above Order, which the Construction Services Commission has approved.

DATED this 25 day of September, 2013.

DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL AND
PROFESSIONAL LICENSING

for W. Ray Walker, Acting Director
MARK B. STEINAGEL
Director

Agency review of this order may be obtained by filing a request for agency review with the Executive Director of the Department of Commerce, 160 East 300 South, Box 146701, Salt lake City, Utah 84114-6701, within thirty (30) days after the date of this order. A motion to set aside the order may also be filed with the presiding officer pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. The agency action in this case was a informal proceeding. The laws and rules governing agency review of this proceeding are found in Section 63G-4-101 et seq. of the Utah Code, and Rule 151-4 of the Utah Administrative Code.