MINUTES

UTAH
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
MEETING

November 7, 2007

Room 402 – 4th Floor – 1:00 P.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

CONVENED: 1:03 p.m.  
ADJOURNED: 2:30 p.m.

Bureau Manager: Clyde Ormond
Board Secretary: Jacky Adams
Board Members Present: Robert Bowen, Chairperson  
Gordon Haycock  
Ruth Potkins  
Michael Blackburn  
MacRay Curtis

Board Members Absent:

Guests: Frank Carlton – USPA (Utah Society of Public Accountants)
Larry Deppe – UACPA (Utah Association of Certified Public Accountants)
Jerry VanOs – CPA Education Peer Committee

DOPL Staff Present: F David Stanley – Division Director
Mitchell Jones – Attorney General Representative

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:
MINUTES:
Mr. Blackburn seconded by Ms. Potkins made a motion to approve the minutes from the September 5, 2007 Board Meeting, with corrections, the motion carried unanimously.

APPOINTMENTS:
1:15 p.m.  Peacock, Brooks
Mr. Peacock appeared for his scheduled probationary appointment with the Board. Mr. Ormond reviewed Mr. Peacocks’ probationary file. Mr. Peacock then requested an early release from this probation, based on the length of time since the charge occurred, and his continued compliance with all requirements of his MOU (Memorandum of Understanding).

After a detailed discussion it was determined that Mr.
Peacock is in compliance with his MOU, however, at this time the Board did not feel that Mr. Peacock should be released, based on the nature of his charge.

Ms. Potkins seconded by Mr. Blackburn made a motion to deny Mr. Peacock's request, adding that Mr. Peacock may request an early release at his next appointment with the Board on April 2, 2008 at 1:15 p.m., the motion carried with Mr. Haycock opposed.

1:30 p.m. Brown, Benjamin

Mr. Brown appeared for his scheduled probationary appointment with the Board. Mr. Brown explained that since his last appointment with the Board he has received a promotion at work, and that he meets with his Doctor on a regular basis. A positive “Employer Report” was received on October 11, 2007 from Mr. Brown’s direct supervisor Mr. Kevin Johansen.

After a detailed discussion it was determined that Mr. Brown is in compliance with his MOU and will meet again with the Board on June 4, 2008 at 1:15 p.m.

1:45 p.m. Buck, Cody

Mr. Buck appeared for his scheduled probationary appointment with the Board. A positive “Employer Report” was received on October 17, 2007 from Mr. Buck’s direct supervisor Kim McReynolds, and a positive “Therapist Report” was submitted during this meeting from Ms. Nancy Henry and Dr. Bryne, of Monarch Assessment & Treatment Center.

Mr. Buck was reminded that he must continue to submit his “Employer” and “Therapist Reports” on a quarterly basis. It was then determined that Mr. Buck is in compliance with his Stipulation and Order and will meet again with the Board on June 4, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.

**DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

Proposed Rules Changes

Mr. Ormond reviewed the final draft of the Proposed Rules changes to R156-26a. He further explained that he anticipates having a Rules Hearing no later than January 2008.

The Board had no additional changes or comments at this time.

Peer Review

Mr. Ormond explained that it has come to the Division’s attention that not all CPA (Certified Public Accountant) Firms are currently submitting proof of meeting the Peer Review requirements, of R156-26a-303a. The Division has enacted additional procedures to help track the Peer Review date, and which administering organization the CPA Firms are registered with to conduct their Peer Review. Mr.
Ormond further explained that a Peer Review audit will be conducted in either March or April of 2008, to determined which CPA Firms are exempt from Peer Review, and if a CPA Firm is not exempt, the CPA Firm must submit proof of the last Peer Review date and which organization conducted the Peer Review.

Mr. Bowen then explained to the Division that there are different types of Reviews:

1. System Reviews – CPA Firms who perform Audits;
2. Engagement Reviews – CPA Firms who do not perform audits but issue full Disclosure Financial Statements; and

Mr. Ormond agreed to request this additional information.

Mr. Curtis then questioned if the Peer Review Committee reviewed the Peer Review Reports. After a detailed discussion it was determined that Mr. Jones would review 58-26a and 63-2 and then report to the Division if the Peer Review Committee would be eligible to review the Peer Review Reports. Mr. Curtis then added that the State Auditors Office has been reviewing CPA Firms, to ensure compliance with their requirements, for about 15 years, if the State Auditors Office finds that a CPA Firm is not in compliance with them, the CPA Firm will be reviewed again the following year, and the issues rarely continue.

Mr. Bowen then commented that in the future AICPA will only be issuing a Peer Review report that states Pass or Fail.

ADJOURN: 2:30 p.m.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.