MINUTES

UTAH
BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPY
Meeting

May 20th, 2014
Room 474 – Fourth Floor – 9:00 a.m.
Heber M. Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

CONVENCED: 9:00 a.m.  
ADJOINED: 11:06 a.m.

Bureau Manager: Sally A. Stewart
Board Secretary: Sally Canavan

Board Members Present: Sharon Muir, Chairperson
Carolyn M. Redington
Micheal Black
Hal Morrell

Board Members Excused: Remaining position – vacant

Guests: Roger Olbrot, Myotherapy College of Utah
Randi Mears, Massage Envy, Sandy & Union Heights
Madison Cheek, Utah College of Massage Therapy-
SLC Campus
Katri Nordblom, Nordblom Institute
Kristi Call, Nordblom Institute
Tami Baugh, Nordblom Institute–American
Footzonology Practioners Association
Wendy Phillips, Nordblom Institute
Penny Barlow, Nordblom Institute
Hans Nordblom, Nordblom Institute
Lisa Stockdale, Nordblom Institute
Rachel J. Morrison, Nordblom Institute
Kirk Jorgensen, American Massage Therapy
Association – Utah Chapter
Rep. Brian Greene, Utah House of Representatives
Heber Blackner, Body Worx
Jason Meinhart, Self

DOPL Staff Present: Mark B. Steignal, Division Director
W. Ray Walker, Division Regulatory/Compliance
Officer
David Furlong, Investigator Supervisor
Allyson Pettley, Investigator
Debra Troxel, Compliance Specialist
ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:
MINUTES:

The minutes from March 18\textsuperscript{th}, 2014 meeting were reviewed. Ms. Redington made a motion, seconded by Mr. Black, to approve the minutes as corrected. The voting was unanimous.

Compliance Report

PROBATION INTERVIEWS:
Heather Welch

Ms. Welch called Ms. Troxel, letting her know by voice mail she was going to be late; she was stuck in traffic. Ms. Troxel checked with UDOT. UDOT said there was a traffic incident. She has done all her check-ins. She is still employed, working 32-40 hours per week in the field. She has been working six days a week, but is cutting down the hours. She is a favorite at work and she loves it there. She is booth-renting. She did not send in her Employer Report. Ms. Troxel has talked to supervisor and is sure the report was on the fax machine. Her family is in Japan. Her son with her and her son’s father just entered an intensive care rehabilitation center. The Board requested to see her at the July 15\textsuperscript{th}, 2014 Board meeting. \textbf{Compliant}

Michelle Stout Moore

Ms. Moore stated things are going well. She is having a problem finding a job. She checked at Massage Envy but is not working there. She will be walking anywhere she goes. The Board recommended she go to her massage school and see what jobs they have open. Right now she is working at a temporary service. The Board stated her time will toll. It cannot count until she is compliant that would mean working in the field. She is calling and being compliant except for not working in the field. She currently needs eight hours per week in the field. She says she needs a list of where to go to check for work. The Board reminded her until she works in the field her time does not count towards getting off probation. The Board said to be sure and let Ms. Troxel know when you start working in the field. The Board requested to see her at the July 15\textsuperscript{th}, 2014 Board meeting. \textbf{Non-compliant}

Tessa Marie Stephens

She stated she has a lot of things going on in her life right now. She has moved and had no internet. She is having it installed today. She takes medication. Ms. Troxel now has a list of medication. She had missed a
second test and is currently not working in the field. During the Summer months there is no guarantee of any massage therapy hours. She is teaching Pilates to get by right now. If there is some reason not to test when scheduled, she was told she needs to talk to Ms. Troxel the same day she is scheduled to test. Her MOU requires eight hours work per week and supervision. She is a seasonal employee, but will pick up some extra massage therapy hours if that is what the Board wants. She can handle the extra hours. The Board told her to kick up her hours in massage and get someone to supervise her. While she is not working in the profession the time is not counting, but all stipulations in her MOU must be completed. She stated she will pick up the extra massages. The Board stated it is in her best interest. Mr. Black made a recommendation that between this Board meeting and July she increase massages to four hours per week and then by the July Board meeting be up to eight hours per week and to let Ms. Troxel know when she gets those hours. The Board requested to see her at the July 15th, 2014 Board meeting. **Non-compliant**

### Cammie Nicole Hullinger (Apprentice)

Ms. Hullinger did not come in for her appointment with the Board. Ms. Troxel tried to call the apprentice and then the supervisor and did not get any response. The Board determined to issue the apprentice, Ms. Hullinger, a citation and a fine for $250.00 for a no-show and no-call. The Board also determined the supervisor Ms. Bowen be issued a Letter of Concern. It is unprofessional conduct if you fail to supervise your apprentice. The Board requested to see her at the July 15th, 2014 Board meeting. **Non-compliant**

### Jason David Meinhart

Mr. Meinhart is going into business for himself. It will be a mobile business. He said Mr. Blackner will be his supervisor. The Board’s concern is Mr. Blackner’s availability, he runs his own business. Mr. Meinhart stated he had contacted all the cities and areas where he plans to work. Mr. Blackner is the Treasurer of the AMTA-Utah chapter. Mr. Blackner is willing to do whatever the Board requests as Mr. Meinhart’s supervisor. The Board is concerned about his supervision. The Board decided to let him do a survey of his services by the client immediately following the massage therapy service and that it be made available to the Board at its request. The form must be approved by the Board. He has ten days to submit his form to
Ms. Troxel, who will review it and submit it to the Board for their possible approval. Mr. Blackner will complete the employer reports in writing. The Board requested to see him at the July 15th, 2014 Board meeting. **Compliant**

**NEW ORDERS:**

**DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

Possible Rule Changes

In accordance with the Legislative change concerning House Bill 207 and HB 324, Rule changes are needed. The Legislature exempted several different professions. They become exempt as of May 13th, 2014. The Board discussed that there will need to be definition of what the professions include and other things that go along with the exemptions.

At this point a possible definition for Ortho-Bionomy is that it means a structural and neurological system of healing that is exempted from licensure in accordance with Subsection 58-47b-304. The suggested definition of Ortho-Bionomy is a compilation of what was available the Society of Ortho-Bionomy International’s web site and from Rocky Mountain Ortho-Bionomy Center. The Board discussed the definitions are tentative definitions. Mr. Steinagel stated they had met yesterday for the first time with the groups on foot zoning and reflexology and he stated they plan to circulate the concept so he suggested it might be a little preliminary to discuss that at this point. The Board can meet with something a little more refined for consideration at their next meeting.

Representative Brian Green, sponsor of HB 207, was at the meeting. He stated there was a clause at the end of HB 207. It says an industry organization recognized by the Division and the reason for that is that the Division is the gate-keeper, so it really should be the Board and the Division that make the determination as to recognizing a specific organization.

The issue that arose was between the Master Estheticians and Massage Therapists professions where both are being taught Body Wrap procedures. The Board discussed that in Utah body wraps are defined as a cosmetic skin treatment. A student may be taught body wraps in school. It is not specifically within the scope of practice of Massage Therapy in Utah. The
Cosmetology professions and the Massage Therapy profession are competing. The Division’s stance is that currently it is cosmetology procedure.

Mr. Olbrot brought to the Board’s attention that the body wrap is a Massage Therapy modality in other states. The Board discussed there may need to be some better collaboration between the Cosmetology profession and the Massage Therapy profession. For the Massage Therapist, its purpose is therapeutic as in detoxifying the body; whereas for the Master Esthetician its purpose is cosmetic. The Cosmetology rule has body wraps listed specifically within the scope of practice of a Master Esthetician. Massage Therapy does not have body wraps specifically listed in their scope of practice. The Board agreed it should be specifically listed in both professions scopes of practice. It needs to be clarified in Rule as to the body wraps purpose. Massage Therapists are exempted from licensure if they are acting as a salesperson or demonstrating product.

Next Board Meeting
Tuesday, July 15th, 2014

ADJOURN: 11:06p.m.
No motion required

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.
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